



# Insurance & Reinsurance

in 27 jurisdictions worldwide

# 2012

Contributing editor: E Paul Kanefsky



Published by  
**Getting the Deal Through**  
in association with:

- Albors Galiano Portales
- Attride-Stirling & Woloniecki
- BLP Abogados
- Bonn & Schmitt
- Bouckaert Ormen Passemard Sportes – BOPS law firm
- Buddle Findlay
- Bulló Tassi Estebenet Lipera Torassa Abogados
- Clasis Law
- Clyde & Co LLP
- Daghie & Asociatii Law Firm
- De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados
- DLA Piper Hong Kong
- Edwards Wildman Palmer LLP
- Graham Thompson & Co
- HWL Ebsworth Lawyers
- IK Rokas & Partners Law Firm
- Jorquiera & Rozas Abogados
- Levitan Sharon & Co Advocates and Notaries
- Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu
- Oppenhoff & Partner
- Sokolov, Maslov & Partners Law Firm
- Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva & Vitliemov
- Studio Legale Giorgetti
- Van Steenderen MainportLawyers
- Walker Sorensen LLP



## Insurance and Reinsurance 2012

### Contributing editor:

E Paul Kanefsky  
Edwards Wildman Palmer LLP

### Business development managers

Alan Lee  
George Ingledew  
Robyn Hetherington  
Dan White

### Marketing manager

Alice Hazard

### Marketing assistants

William Bentley  
Zosia Demkowicz

### Admin assistant

Megan Friedman

### Marketing manager – subscriptions

Rachel Nurse  
subscriptions@  
gettingthedealthrough.com

### Assistant editor

Adam Myers

### Editorial assistant

Lydia Gerges

### Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

### Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

### Subeditors

Anna Andreoli  
Beth Housdon

### Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

### Publisher

Richard Davey

### Insurance & Reinsurance 2012

Published by  
Law Business Research Ltd  
87 Lancaster Road, London,  
W11 1QQ, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188  
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910  
© Law Business Research Ltd 2012  
No photocopying: copyright licences  
do not apply.  
ISSN 1757-7195

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. No legal advice is being given in the publication. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of July 2012, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

**Law**  
**Business**  
**Research**



<b>Global Overview</b> Andy Tromans and Nigel Brook <i>Clyde &amp; Co LLP</i>	<b>3</b>
<b>Argentina</b> Carlos A Estebenet and Francisco Roggero <i>Bulló Tassi Estebenet Lipera Torassa Abogados</i>	<b>7</b>
<b>Australia</b> Tim Griffiths, Jonathan Tapp and Mark Kimberley <i>HWL Ebsworth Lawyers</i>	<b>13</b>
<b>Bahamas</b> Linda Beidler-D'Aguilar <i>Graham Thompson &amp; Co</i>	<b>22</b>
<b>Bermuda</b> Jan Woloniecki <i>Attride-Stirling &amp; Woloniecki</i>	<b>29</b>
<b>Brazil</b> Luis Augusto Roux Azevedo <i>De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados</i>	<b>36</b>
<b>Bulgaria</b> Irina M Stoeva and Pavel N Vitliemov <i>Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva &amp; Vitliemov</i>	<b>42</b>
<b>Canada</b> John L Walker, Sean G Sorensen and Margaret S Pak <i>Walker Sorensen LLP</i>	<b>47</b>
<b>Chile</b> Ricardo Rozas and Max Morgan <i>Jorquiera &amp; Rozas Abogados</i>	<b>54</b>
<b>China</b> Carrie Yang <i>Clyde &amp; Co LLP</i>	<b>60</b>
<b>Costa Rica</b> Neftalí Garro and Said Breedy <i>BLP Abogados</i>	<b>67</b>
<b>France</b> Pascal Ormen and Romain Dupeyré <i>Bouckaert Ormen Passemard Sportes – BOPS law firm</i>	<b>72</b>
<b>Germany</b> Peter Etzbach and Christoph Appel <i>Oppenhoff &amp; Partner</i>	<b>81</b>
<b>Greece</b> Spyridon Giannimpas and Maria Demirakou <i>IK Rokas &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>	<b>88</b>
<b>Hong Kong</b> Will Harrison <i>DLA Piper Hong Kong</i>	<b>95</b>
<b>India</b> Sakate Khaitan <i>Clasis Law</i>	<b>102</b>
<b>Israel</b> Rachel Levitan and Yael Navon <i>Levitan Sharon &amp; Co Advocates &amp; Notaries</i>	<b>108</b>
<b>Italy</b> Alessandro P Giorgetti <i>Studio Legale Giorgetti</i>	<b>114</b>
<b>Japan</b> Keitaro Oshimo <i>Nagashima Ohno &amp; Tsunematsu</i>	<b>121</b>
<b>Luxembourg</b> Chantal Keereman and Armel Waisse <i>Bonn &amp; Schmitt</i>	<b>126</b>
<b>Netherlands</b> Arnold Stendahl and Martina Smit <i>Van Steenderen MainportLawyers</i>	<b>132</b>
<b>New Zealand</b> Scott Barker, Peter Niven, Sebastian Bisley <i>Buddle Findlay</i>	<b>138</b>
<b>Romania</b> Dragoş-Mihail Daghie and Nora Andreea Daghie <i>Daghie &amp; Asociatii Law Firm</i>	<b>145</b>
<b>Russia</b> Anna Arkhipova and Elena Popova <i>Sokolov, Maslov &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>	<b>152</b>
<b>Spain</b> Eduardo Albors, Alfonso de Ochoa and Javier Portales <i>Albors Galiano Portales</i>	<b>158</b>
<b>United Arab Emirates</b> Wayne Jones and Peter Hodgins <i>Clyde &amp; Co LLP</i>	<b>165</b>
<b>United Kingdom</b> Andy Tromans, Nigel Brook and Barbara Riggs <i>Clyde &amp; Co LLP</i>	<b>177</b>
<b>United States</b> E Paul Kanefsky, Charles R Welsh, Michael T Griffin, Laurie A Kamaiko, Robert W DiUbaldo and Gregory S Hoffnagle <i>Edwards Wildman Palmer LLP</i>	<b>183</b>

# Japan

**Keitaro Oshimo**

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

---

## Regulation

### 1 Regulatory agencies

Identify the regulatory agencies responsible for regulating insurance and reinsurance companies.

The Financial Services Agency (FSA) is the government agency that is responsible for regulating insurance and reinsurance companies under the legal and regulatory framework of the Insurance Business Law, Law No. 105 of 1995, as amended (IBL). The FSA has broad authority to set rules, supervise and penalise insurance and reinsurance companies as well as their major shareholders or insurance brokers and agents.

The FSA is charged with the supervision of broker-dealers and asset managers as well as banks primarily under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law (Law No. 25 of 1948, as amended) and the Banking Law (Law No. 59 of 1981, as amended).

Certain administrative functions, such as the insurance broker registration, are delegated to regional financial bureaux subordinated to the FSA.

### 2 Formation and licensing

What are the requirements for formation and licensing of new insurance and reinsurance companies?

Foreign companies that consider establishing a vehicle in Japan to acquire an insurance business licence from the FSA may choose either a subsidiary or a Japanese branch. The subsidiary must take the form of a *kabushiki kaisha* (stock company) under the Company Law (Law No. 86 of 2005, as amended). The IBL requires a minimum capital of ¥1 billion.

The FSA examines the charter documents, including the general policy conditions, the business method statement and the premium and reserve calculation method statement, and the business projections (generally for 10 years) as well as CVs of directors during the licensing procedures. The licence is not issued unless the FSA is convinced of the credibility of the applicant in terms of sufficient financial basis, human resources and business projections.

Formation of a Japanese branch is simpler but the same licensing requirements apply. In lieu of the minimum capital requirement, the IBL requires the Japanese branch to make a deposit of a minimum of ¥200 million prior to commencing insurance business in Japan.

The foregoing applies generally to reinsurance companies as well.

### 3 Other licences, authorisations and qualifications

What licences, authorisations or qualifications are required for insurance and reinsurance companies to conduct business?

The IBL sets forth three types of insurance business licence, namely life insurance, general insurance and small-amount short-term

insurance. The latter is intended for small mutual association-type businesses, which presumably is not an option for foreign entrants into the Japanese mainstream insurance market.

There is not an additional licence specifically for reinsurance business. Foreign reinsurance companies that intend to assume reinsurance in Japan shall acquire the general insurance business licence, regardless of whether the Japanese vehicle assumes the portfolio of general insurance or life insurance from the ceding companies. The licence is not required if foreign reinsurance companies assume reinsurance offshore without reinsurance activities in Japan.

### 4 Officers and directors

What are the minimum qualification requirements for officers and directors of insurance and reinsurance companies?

There are no specific examinations or other qualification requirements. It is expected that the management as a whole has sufficient capability to run insurance or reinsurance companies with each director or officer having the background relevant to the duties assigned; for example, the compliance officer should have experience as such.

### 5 Capital and surplus requirement

What are the capital and surplus requirements for insurance and reinsurance companies?

In addition to the minimum capital requirement noted above, insurance and reinsurance companies are required to meet the solvency margin ratio of 200 per cent. If the ratio goes below 200 per cent, the FSA may issue an order to direct appropriate measures to improve the solvency. Due to practical considerations, such as avoidance of reputation risk, insurance and reinsurance companies generally maintain much higher solvency margin ratios.

### 6 Reserves

What are the requirements with respect to reserves maintained by insurance and reinsurance companies?

Insurance companies must set forth their method of reserve calculation in respect of each line of their insurance business in the premium and reserve calculation method statement, which is subject to the review and approval by the FSA during the licensing procedures. Insurance companies must set aside reserves in accordance with the approved premium and reserve calculation method statement and the regulations set by the FSA from time to time.

Under the IBL, the chief actuary hired by the insurance or reinsurance company is responsible for checking the adequacy of the reserves and recommending that the management takes appropriate

actions (for example, capital increase) if any deficiency or other problem is found or expected based on the business projections. The FSA and the chief actuary have meetings to discuss the adequacy of the reserves and other financial matters after the end of each fiscal year.

---

## 7 Product regulation

What are the regulatory requirements with respect to insurance products offered for sale? Are some products regulated by multiple agencies?

Insurance products must generally be reviewed and approved by the FSA before they are offered for sale to customers. Certain insurance products for corporate customers are exempted from the approval requirements. The FSA examines the products from the standpoint of protection of customers as well as public policy. The FSA is the sole agency in charge of insurance product approval.

Certain securities regulations in respect of public distribution (for instance, the suitability test) are built into the IBL and apply to the offer for sale of investment-type insurance products like variable annuities. Compliance with these regulations is supervised by the FSA like any other regulations under the IBL.

---

## 8 Change of control

What are the regulatory requirements on a change of control of insurance and reinsurance companies? Are officers and directors of the acquirer subject to background investigations?

Prior to the change of control, the acquirer of the majority stock shares in the insurance or reinsurance company must obtain FSA approval to become either an insurance major shareholder or an insurance holding company depending on the asset size of the acquirer. That is, if the value of the acquired stock shares in the insurance company, together with any other Japanese subsidiaries, exceeds 50 per cent of the total assets of the acquirer, the acquirer is deemed to be an 'insurance holding company' for the purpose of the IBL. Otherwise, the acquirer constitutes an 'insurance major shareholder' for the purpose of the IBL. The FSA will examine the background of the directors of the acquirer during the approval procedures.

---

## 9 Financing of an acquisition

What are the requirements and restrictions regarding financing of the acquisition of an insurance or reinsurance company?

There are no specific restrictions but the FSA will review the financing of the acquisition during the processing of the application for the approval set forth in the answer to the preceding question.

---

## 10 Foreign investment

What are the requirements and restrictions concerning the investment in an insurance or reinsurance company by foreign citizens, companies or governments?

Foreign investment in insurance businesses is not considered to have national security implications. There are no requirements or restrictions from the standpoint of foreign investment control.

All the same, if the foreign investor is to constitute an 'insurance major shareholder' as noted above, it must obtain the FSA's approval prior to the investment into the insurance or reinsurance company in Japan. The FSA will conduct a background check on the acquirer

such as the examination of the purpose of the investment and the acquisition finance during the application processing to see whether or not the investment could hamper the sound management of the insurance or reinsurance company. Ownership of 20 per cent (or 15 per cent in certain circumstances) voting share in an insurance or reinsurance company is the trigger threshold for an 'insurance major shareholder'.

---

## 11 Reinsurance agreements

What are the regulatory requirements with respect to reinsurance agreements between insurance and reinsurance companies domiciled in your jurisdiction?

Other than financial reinsurance, the parties may execute reinsurance contracts, either treaty or facultative, without obtaining the FSA approval. In the case of financial reinsurance, it is the obligation of the ceding company, not the assuming company, to make prior notification to the FSA, which will examine the purpose of the transaction and its impact on the finances of the ceding company.

---

## 12 Ceded reinsurance and retention of risk

What requirements and restrictions govern the amount of ceded reinsurance and retention of risk by insurers?

There are no anti-fronting or other regulations that specifically restrict the amount or ratio of ceded business against the retention. Within the broad powers assigned to the FSA, it may direct the ceding companies to reconsider their risk-taking and reinsurance practice if the FSA believes that the reinsurance is excessive or otherwise not appropriate from the risk management standpoint.

---

## 13 Collateral

What are the collateral requirements for reinsurers in a reinsurance transaction?

There are no collateral requirements. Ceding companies may take credit as to the portfolio ceded to qualified reinsurance companies, such as insurance or reinsurance companies with the general insurance business licence in Japan. Collateral is irrelevant to the qualification.

---

## 14 Insolvent and financially troubled companies

What laws govern insolvent or financially troubled insurance and reinsurance companies?

They are governed primarily by the IBL and the Reorganisation Law for Financial Institutions (the Reorganisation Law, Law No. 95 of 1996, as amended). The IBL sets forth the administrative procedures governing insolvent or financially troubled insurance and reinsurance companies. The procedures under the IBL are supervised by the FSA. The Reorganisation Law governs the legal procedures to revitalise insolvent insurance and reinsurance companies under the supervision of the court. After the enactment of the Reorganisation Law, the administrative procedures under the IBL are virtually superseded by the court-sponsored procedures under the Reorganisation Law. The reorganisation allows a number of different ways of business combination, such as stock purchases, asset purchases and mergers involving the insolvent companies.

There are laws subordinate to the IBL that set forth the policyholder protection funding structure for the purpose of protecting the interests of the holders of insurance policies issued by insolvent insurance companies.

**15 Intermediaries**

What are the licensing requirements for intermediaries representing insurance and reinsurance companies?

The IBL sets forth two types of intermediaries in insurance distribution or execution of reinsurance contracts, namely insurance agents and insurance brokers. Insurance agents distribute insurance products on behalf of the insurance companies under their direction. They are required to be registered as such at the competent regional financial bureaux. The registration procedures for insurance agents are much simpler than the insurance broker registration noted below. Practically speaking, the administration of the insurance agent registration is delegated to the insurance industry associations. Intermediary activities of banks are regulated under special provisions of the IBL but they are subject to the same registration requirements.

Insurance brokers intermediate in their capacity as an independent broker. They also are required to be registered at the competent regional financial bureaux. The brokers must have passed the examination sponsored by the broker association, which is conducted only once a year, prior to their filing of the application for registration with the regional financial bureaux. The broker must make a guarantee deposit of at least ¥40 million prior to commencement of the broking business. Reinsurance broking from offshore without broking activities in Japan does not require the insurance broker registration.

Registration under the IBL is required when the person engages in insurance soliciting, but the term 'insurance soliciting' is not clearly defined for practical purposes. (For instance, it is not clear how far telephone receptionists at a call centre provider contracted by an insurance company can go without the registration to act as its insurance agent when they talk to customers on the line about the products of that insurance company.)

Finally, claims adjusters may provide services to insurance companies without any licence or registration under the IBL.

**Insurance claims and coverage****16 Third-party actions**

Can a third party bring a direct action against an insurer for coverage?

Unless specifically afforded, such as victims of automobile accidents against automobile liability insurers, third parties generally may not bring direct coverage actions against insurance companies.

**17 Late notice of claim**

Can an insurer deny coverage based on late notice of claim without demonstrating prejudice?

An insurer may deny coverage if it has successfully demonstrated extraordinary bad faith on the part of the policyholder in respect of the late notice in breach of the agreed policy wording. Otherwise, the insurer may reduce its claim payment obligation only to the extent of the actual damage suffered due to the late notice and only after successfully demonstrating the actual damage.

**18 Wrongful denial of claim**

Is an insurer subject to extra-contractual exposure for wrongful denial of a claim?

The insurer will owe a tort liability in respect of wrongful denial of a claim. (The insurer may incur an administrative penalty from the FSA, such as a temporary business suspension order, as well.) Punitive damages are not available in Japan.

**19 Defence of claim**

What triggers a liability insurer's duty to defend a claim?

Liability insurers do not have a duty to defend a claim. Liability insurers indemnify policyholders from expenses incurred by them to defend a claim in accordance with the terms of liability insurance policies.

**20 Indemnity policies**

For indemnity policies, what triggers the insurer's indemnity obligations?

The triggers can be occurrence of losses, discovered losses, claims made, risk attaching or otherwise as agreed in the indemnity policy.

**21 Incontestability period**

Is there an incontestability period beyond which a life insurer cannot contest coverage based on misrepresentation in the application?

A life insurer may not allege misrepresentation in the application after the expiration of five years from the execution date of the policy. Moreover, a life insurer may not allege misrepresentation if it fails to contest within one month from the time when it is known to the life insurer.

**22 Punitive damages**

Are punitive damages insurable?

It is generally thought that punitive damages are not insurable. (Punitive damages are generally not awarded or enforceable by courts in Japan.)

**23 Excess insurer obligations**

What is the obligation of an excess insurer to 'drop down and defend', and pay a claim, if the primary insurer is insolvent or its coverage is otherwise unavailable without full exhaustion of primary limits?

The law does not impose such obligation on the part of the excess of loss reinsurers. (In practice, it is not unusual for the parties to specifically set forth in the ELC contract wording to the effect that the reinsurance company does not owe such obligation.)

**24 Claim priority**

What is the order of priority for payment when there are multiple claims under the same policy?

There are no statutory or judicially determined rules.

**25 Allocation of payment**

How are payments allocated among multiple policies triggered by the same claim?

Section 20 of the Insurance Act (Law No. 56 of 2008) provides that if a risk is covered by policies issued by multiple insurers, the insured person may recover from any such policies up to their respective full insured sum, up to the full amount of the loss. Once the payment is made by one insurer, the allocation will be made among the multiple insurers on a pro rata basis.

---

**Reinsurance**


---

**26 Reinsurance disputes**

Are formal reinsurance disputes common, or do insurers tend to prefer business solutions for their disputes without formal proceedings?

Given the nature of the reinsurance market (where risks are transferred to each other in what is a small community), formal reinsurance disputes are rare. Quite often, insurers opt to reach business solutions without formal proceedings.

**27 Common dispute issues**

What are the most common issues that arise in reinsurance disputes?

Typically, disputes relate to the scope of coverage, which sometimes is written in vague terminology or industry jargon, the meanings of which are not necessarily clear.

**28 Arbitration awards**

Do reinsurance arbitration awards typically include the reasoning for the decision?

If the arbitration clause in a reinsurance contract sets forth that the arbitration panel shall issue a written and reasoned award, the panel will include the reasoning for the decision in the arbitration award. Otherwise, it is up to the arbitrators whether or not to include the reasoning of the decision in the arbitration awards.

**29 Power of arbitrators**

What powers do reinsurance arbitrators have over non-parties to the arbitration agreement?

Arbitrators do not have any powers over non-parties to the arbitration agreement in respect of the arbitration proceedings.

**30 Appeal of arbitration awards**

Can parties to reinsurance arbitrations seek to vacate or confirm arbitration awards through the judicial system? What level of deference does the judiciary give to arbitral awards?

The Japanese courts will generally honour arbitration clauses in reinsurance contracts (like any other commercial agreements) and arbitration awards issued by the agreed panel. Foreign awards may be brought to the Japanese courts for enforcement in Japan.

---

**Reinsurance principles and practices**


---

**31 Obligation to follow cedent**

Does a reinsurer have an obligation to follow its cedent's underwriting fortunes and claims payments or settlements in the absence of an express contractual provision? Where such an obligation exists, what is the scope of the obligation, and what defences are available to a reinsurer?

Without express contractual provision, the reinsurer is not obligated to 'follow the fortunes' of the ceding company unless the circumstances demonstrate that such a practice is established (and therefore the parties are deemed to have agreed to cede and assume the risks based on that practice in addition to the express terms and conditions in the reinsurance contract). Even if such an obligation exists on the part of the reinsurer, it may try to refuse payment based on gross negligence in claims settlements on the part of the ceding company if there is material deviation from the generally accepted prudent and professional manner.

**32 Good faith**

Is a duty of utmost good faith implied in reinsurance agreements? If so, please describe that duty in comparison to the duty of good faith applicable to other commercial agreements.

The ceding company is expected to take reasonable care in claims settlements, and the level of such reasonable care will be determined based on the industry standard, not the notional ordinary commercial standard. The ceding company is also expected to act in good faith in entering into reinsurance contracts. However, it is not considered to be a duty of utmost good faith.

**33 Facultative reinsurance and treaty reinsurance**

Is there a different set of laws for facultative reinsurance and treaty reinsurance?

There is not a different set of statutes for facultative reinsurance and treaty reinsurance but the court will consider the difference of the two types in deciding reinsurance disputes.

**34 Third-party action**

Can a policyholder or non-signatory to a reinsurance agreement bring a direct action against a reinsurer for coverage?

No. A policyholder or non-signatory may not bring a direct action against the reinsurer.

**35 Insolvent insurer**

What is the obligation of a reinsurer to pay a policyholder's claim where the insurer is insolvent and cannot pay?

The reinsurer must discharge its own liability against the insolvent ceding company under the terms and conditions of the reinsurance contracts, regardless of whether the liability of the ceding company against its policyholders is reduced in the reorganisation proceedings. Practically speaking, the reinsurers will have opportunity to negotiate commutation of the assumed portfolio with the reorganisation trustee of the insolvent ceding company in charge of collection from the reinsurers.

**36 Notice and information**

What type of notice and information must a cedent typically provide its reinsurer with respect to an underlying claim? If the cedent fails to provide timely or sufficient notice, what remedies are available to a reinsurer?

The ceding company must provide notice and information as set forth in the reinsurance contract that will vary depending on the type of the reinsurance; for example, treaty versus facultative or the reinsured risks. It is not unusual that the reinsurance contracts require timely delivery of all material claim-related information, including the information about the contested claims, together with reasonable supporting documents, and also set forth the consequence of failure by the ceding company to make timely delivery of the required notice and information.

**37 Allocation of underlying claim payments or settlements**

Where an underlying loss or claim triggers multiple reinsured policies, how does the reinsured allocate its claims or settlement payments among those policies?

There are no statutorily or judicially determined rules other than section 20 of the Insurance Act, as mentioned in question 25.

Reinsurance contracts can set forth the manner of claim allocation among multiple reinsurance contracts differently from section 20. If such agreement is made, the agreed manner of allocation will govern the relevant reinsured and the reinsurers.

### 38 Review

What type of review does the governing law afford reinsurers with respect to a cedent's claims handling, and settlement and allocation decisions?

There are no specific rights of review afforded to reinsurers by statutes. There are no judicially established rules.

### 39 Reimbursing of commutation payments

What type of obligation does a reinsurer have to reimburse a cedent for commutation payments? Must a reinsurer indemnify its cedent for 'incurred but not reported' claims?

There are no specific statutorily or judicially established rules. Practically speaking, the reinsureds will advise the reinsurers of the terms of commutation prior to its execution and obtain their consent.

#### Update and trends

The IBL sets forth investment regulations that restrict method of investments by insurance companies; namely, the permissible investments are such methods as acquisition of securities, real estates, receivables, short-term bonds or gold, advancement of loans, securities lending, partnership interests, deposit in financial institutions, or securities derivatives. Furthermore, there were limitations for purposes of diversifying investment in terms of certain specified assets (eg, real estate may not exceed 20 per cent of the total assets) and the risks related to one entity (or its group including affiliates) with a view to avoiding concentration risk. The FSA, which is the government agency in charge of enforcement of the IBL, announced on 18 April 2012 the abolishment of the investment caps on the specified assets effective as of the same day. The 'one-entity'-based investment limitation remains unchanged. The FSA explained that the recently enforced more stringent solvency requirement would expect to assure financially sound management of insurance companies despite the abolishment of the investment cap on certain specified assets. The FSA also added that they have retained the power to revive the restriction if the regulator deems it necessary in future.

# NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

**Keitaro Oshimo**

**keitaro\_oshimo@noandt.com**

Kioicho Building, 3-12 Kioicho  
Chiyoda-ku  
Tokyo 102-0094  
Japan

Tel: +81 3 3511 6132  
Fax: +81 3 5213 2232  
www.noandt.com

**GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH®**

**Annual volumes published on:**

Air Transport	Licensing
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Life Sciences
Anti-Money Laundering	Merger Control
Arbitration	Mergers & Acquisitions
Banking Regulation	Mining
Cartel Regulation	Oil Regulation
Climate Regulation	Patents
Construction	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Copyright	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Governance	Private Equity
Corporate Immigration	Product Liability
Dispute Resolution	Product Recall
Dominance	Project Finance
e-Commerce	Public Procurement
Electricity Regulation	Real Estate
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Restructuring & Insolvency
Environment	Right of Publicity
Foreign Investment Review	Securities Finance
Franchise	Shipbuilding
Gas Regulation	Shipping
Insurance & Reinsurance	Tax on Inbound Investment
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Telecoms and Media
Labour & Employment	Trademarks
	Vertical Agreements



**For more information or to purchase books, please visit:**  
[www.GettingTheDealThrough.com](http://www.GettingTheDealThrough.com)



Strategic research partners of the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS  
FOR ENTERPRISE:  
2012



The Official Research Partner of the International Bar Association