

International **Comparative** Legal Guides



Environmental, Social & Governance Law **2021**

A practical cross-border insight into ESG law

First Edition

Featuring contributions from:

BAHR
Bowmans
Brown Rudnick LLP
Galicía Abogados, S.C.
Grimaldi Studio Legale
Haynes and Boone, LLP
Herbert Smith Freehills

Herbert Smith Freehills LLP
Herzog Fox & Neeman
Macfarlanes LLP
Mannheimer Swartling
Advokatbyrå
Maples Group
Nagashima Ohno &
Tsunematsu

Schellenberg Wittmer Ltd
Stikeman Elliott LLP
SustainAdvisory
Travers Smith LLP
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
Wolf Theiss

ICLG.com

Expert Chapters

- 1** **Moving Forward With ESG: Considerations for Boards and Management**
David M. Silk & Carmen X. W. Lu, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
- 7** **Incorporating Sustainability into Debt and Equity Financing**
Emma Russell, Emily Fuller & Deborah Low, Haynes and Boone, LLP
- 12** **ESG and UK Pension Schemes: Challenges and Opportunities**
Andy Lewis & Jonathan Gilmour, Travers Smith LLP
- 16** **ESG and Litigation: The Outlook for Shareholders and Listed Companies**
Ravi Nayer, Razzaq Ahmed & Tom McDonnell, Brown Rudnick LLP
- 28** **ESG and Corporate Strategy: A Cross-Sectoral View**
Rebecca Perlman, Silke Goldberg & Iria Calviño, Herbert Smith Freehills LLP

Q&A Chapters

- 34** **Australia**
Herbert Smith Freehills: Heidi Asten, Timothy Stutt & Jacqueline Wootton
- 43** **Austria**
Wolf Theiss: Sarah Wared, Florian Kuszner & Claus Schneider
- 48** **Canada**
Stikeman Elliott LLP: Vanessa Coiteux, Ramandeep K. Grewal & Catherine Grygar
- 57** **Ireland**
Maples Group: Peter Stapleton, Ronan Cremin & Jennifer Dobbyn
- 63** **Israel**
Herzog Fox & Neeman: Janet Levy Pahima, Liat Maidler & Daniel Kaczelnik
- 71** **Italy**
Grimaldi Studio Legale: Riccardo Sallustio SustainAdvisory: Francesca Fraulo
- 79** **Japan**
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu: Kiyoshi Honda
- 85** **Mexico**
Galicia Abogados, S.C.: Mariana Herrero, Maurice Berkman, Carlos Escoto & Lorena Kiehnle Barocio
- 92** **Norway**
BAHR: Svein Gerhard Simonnæs, Asle Aarbakke & Lene E. Nygård
- 97** **Poland**
Wolf Theiss: Marcin Rudnik & Joanna Gąsowski
- 104** **South Africa**
Bowmans: Ezra Davids & Ryan Kitcat
- 112** **Sweden**
Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå: Patrik Marcelius, Cecilia Björkwall & Joel Palm
- 119** **Switzerland**
Schellenberg Wittmer Ltd: Christoph Vonlanthen, Lorenzo Olgiati, Fabio Elsener & Giulia Marchettini
- 126** **United Kingdom**
Macfarlanes LLP: Tom Rose & Olivia Seeley
- 136** **USA**
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz: David M. Silk & Carmen X. W. Lu

Japan

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu



Kiyoshi Honda

1 Setting the Scene – Sources and Overview

1.1 What are the main substantive ESG-related regulations?

In Japan, there is no particular regulation that directly addresses ESG investment/financing, ESG disclosure or ESG business operations. While each of the components of ESG are addressed by some laws and regulations – namely, environmental matters (e.g., the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures, and the Act on Special Measures Concerning Procurement of Electricity from Renewable Energy Sources by Electricity Utilities), social matters (e.g., the Act on Promotion of Women’s Participation and Advancement in the Workplace, and the Act on the Promotion of Ainu Culture and Dissemination and Enlightenment of Knowledge about Ainu Tradition, etc.) and corporate governance matters (e.g., the Companies Act) – the concept of ESG has not been codified in a Japanese law/regulation. Rather, ESG has been developed and become popular through soft-law rulemaking in Japan.

The main Japanese soft-law rules relating to ESG are the Stewardship Code (the “SS Code”) and Corporate Governance Code (“CG Code”). Acknowledging the growing importance of ESG, the latest SS Code (as amended in March 2020) defines the “Stewardship Responsibilities” thus:

The responsibility of institutional investors to enhance the medium- to long-term investment return for their clients and beneficiaries ... by improving and fostering the investee companies’ corporate value and sustainable growth through constructive engagement, or purposeful dialogue, based on in-depth knowledge of the companies and their business environment and *consideration of sustainability (medium- to long-term sustainability including ESG factors) consistent with their investment management strategies. (Emphasis added.)*

In addition, Principle 7 of the SS Code provides:

To contribute positively to the sustainable growth of investee companies, institutional investors should develop skills and resources needed to appropriately engage with the companies and to make proper judgments in fulfilling their stewardship activities based on in-depth knowledge of the investee companies and their business environment and consideration of sustainability consistent with their investment management strategies. (Emphasis added.)

On the other hand, the CG Code, prepared by Tokyo Stock Exchange (“TSE”), sets forth the fundamental principles for corporate governance. It has been incorporated into the TSE’s listing rules in order to promote corporate governance in Japan. Under the TSE listing rules, a TSE 1st or 2nd Section-listed company is required to provide an explanation for non-compliance with any principle of the CG Code. In addition to corporate governance-related matters, the CG Code also addresses environmental and social matters in its General Principle 2-3: “Companies should take appropriate measures to address sustainability issues, including social and environmental matters.”

1.2 What are the main ESG disclosure regulations?

The main regulations in connection with the disclosure of information relating to Japanese corporations are the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (“FIEA”) and the Companies Act. FIEA requires certain corporations and entities (such as listed companies) to prepare and submit a prospectus in order to disclose certain information for the benefit of their investors. The Companies Act requires corporations to disclose their financial information and business performance for the benefit of shareholders and creditors. Further, companies listed on a stock exchange (such as TSE) are required to disclose information in accordance with the listing rules of the stock exchange. Despite the existence of such strict regulations and rules, the subject information is mainly financial information and no provision directly requires ESG disclosure.

Nevertheless, following the amendment of the enforcement regulation pertaining to FIEA in 2019, more information relating to corporate governance is required to be disclosed in the prospectus. The following non-financial information must be disclosed in accordance with such amendment:

- Policy and strategy for corporate management.
- Explanation of programme for directors’ remuneration.
- Explanation of reasonableness of cross-shareholdings (where shares are mutually held not for investment).
- More detailed information regarding corporate governance.

In addition, some of the environmental regulatory laws set forth certain disclosure requirements concerning environmental matters. For example, the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures requires certain business operators to disclose information about greenhouse gas emissions. Further, the Act on Rational Use of Energy requires the disclosure of energy use. Unless there is a disclosure regulation such as the foregoing, ESG-related matters are disclosed on a voluntary basis.

1.3 What voluntary ESG disclosures, beyond those required by law or regulation, are customary?

General Principle 3 of the CG Code provides:

Companies should appropriately make information disclosure in compliance with the relevant laws and regulations, but *should also strive to actively provide information beyond that required by law*. This includes both financial information, such as financial standing and operating results, and *non-financial information, such as business strategies and business issues, risk and governance*. The board should recognise that disclosed information will serve as the basis for constructive dialogue with shareholders, and therefore ensure that such information, *particularly non-financial information*, is accurate, clear and useful. (*Emphasis added*.)

In accordance with such a concept, a number of Japanese corporations voluntarily disclose matters relating to ESG in the form of corporate social responsibility (“CSR”) reports or similar. The government encourages corporations to perform such voluntary disclosure – for example, the Ministry of the Environment (“MoE”) publishes a guideline for voluntary disclosure of matters related to the environment.

Sompo Holdings, Inc., for example – one of the biggest insurance companies in Japan, which is regularly ranked as a corporation that is highly sensible in its ESG matters – discloses a CSR Communication Report each year. In such report, Sompo Holdings discloses the details of ESG-related matters, such as the amount of greenhouse gas emissions, etc., diversity in human resources, contribution to social welfare, corporate governance system and internal education for compliance. As there is no regulation directly requiring ESG disclosure, the matter of how corporations disclose ESG-related information voluntarily to stakeholders depends on the individual corporation.

1.4 Are there significant laws or regulations currently in the proposal process?

No, there are none. As discussed above, in Japan, the concept of ESG has been developed through soft-law rulemaking and has not been codified. No particular law or regulation is currently in the proposal process.

1.5 What significant private sector initiatives relating to ESG are there?

The landmark action that boosted ESG development in Japan was the Government Pension Investment Fund (“GPIF”)’s commitment to the Principles for Responsible Investment (“PRI”) in 2015. GPIF is Japan’s biggest fund and manages more than JPY 162,000 billion. Its commitment to the PRI has significantly affected other investors and was a particularly big moment that hugely promoted ESG. Further, in 2017, GPIF changed its investment principles so that ESG consideration must be taken into account with respect to its investment. It is believed that many other investors have followed such approach of GPIF.

In addition, a number of Japanese corporations are keen to develop ESG (including ESG disclosure and ESG corporate management) and each of them has contributed to the recent development of ESG. There have also been some collective actions by large and well-known corporations to promote ESG. One example is an association established by large Japanese corporations, such as Hitachi Corporation, Nippon Life and

Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, for the purpose of promoting ESG disclosure. It is expected that such actions by corporations will have a hugely positive impact on ESG development.

Furthermore, the Japanese Bar Association has been conscious of ESG-related matters, and in 2018, it published the “Guide on ESG-related Risk Management”, for Japanese companies, investors, and financial institutions to talk about and work together on managing risk related to ESG issues. Such guide has been prepared for lawyers providing legal advice to corporations/investors as well as for Japanese corporations/investors. Based on the SS Code and the CG Code, the guidance sets out (i) how to disclose non-financial information relating to ESG, (ii) how to prevent and grapple with corporate crisis management, and (iii) model clauses for ESG financing. The guidance is believed to be widely read and used among corporations and investors.

2 Principal Sources of ESG Pressure

2.1 What are the views and perspectives of investors and asset managers toward ESG, and how do they exert influence in support of those views?

Currently, it is widely believed that more and more corporations, investors and asset managers have acknowledged that they will lose market share or profit unless they become more conscious of ESG issues. The current basic approach by Japanese investors/asset managers, however, differs somewhat from those in European countries or the US. According to the Global Sustainable Investment Review (“GSIR”) in 2018, in terms of ESG strategy, the percentage of “negative/exclusionary screening” was low (compared to Europe/the US). Rather, Japanese investors/asset managers are inclined to adopt the strategies of “corporate engagement and shareholder action” and “ESG integration”.

According to the statistics, though the developed ESG strategies are less diverse in Japan as compared to Europe/the US, ESG has recently developed quite rapidly in Japan. According to the statistics published by GSIR, the ratio of ESG investment increased from 3.4% in 2016 to 18.3% in 2018 (an increase of around 15%). The statistics also show that the amount of ESG investment acutely increased from USD 474 billion in 2016 to USD 2,180 billion in 2018.

2.2 What are the views of other stakeholders toward ESG, and how do they exert influence in support of those views?

Among ESG stakeholders, non-governmental organisations (“NGOs”) and non-profit organisations (“NPOs”) play an important role, although, in Japan, NGOs/NPOs are less powerful compared to in Europe/the US. Nevertheless, NGOs/NPOs are active in exerting influence over corporations/investors with respect to ESG. For example, the exercise by Kiko Network – an NGO acting against global warming – of the shareholder’s proposal right under the Companies Act, at the shareholders’ meeting of Mizuho Financial Group (one of the biggest financial groups in Japan), has attracted a lot of attention. It is believed to be the first case where the shareholder’s proposal right has been exercised in connection with global warming, and the proposal contained a request to Mizuho Financial Group to disclose a business plan that complied with the Paris Agreement. Although the proposal was rejected at the shareholders’ meeting, it is remarkable that around 35% of shareholders approved the proposal. According to Kiko Network,

major advisory companies such as Glass Lewis and Institutional Shareholders Services suggested that the shareholders support the proposal.

2.3 What are the principal regulators with respect to ESG issues, and what issues are being pressed by those regulators?

As there is no particular regulation that directly addresses ESG, no competent regulator that directly regulates ESG matters exists. Nevertheless, (i) the Financial Services Agency (“FSA”), which forms the committee for the SS Code, has played an important role with respect to ESG, (ii) the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (“METI”), which is the competent authority for investment-related matters, is active in the ESG field and publishes reports relating to ESG, and (iii) MoE is very keen to promote ESG (in terms of “Environment”). In addition, although a quasi-governmental agency, TSE has also played quite an important role, especially by preparing the CG Code.

2.4 Have there been material enforcement actions with respect to ESG issues?

No, there have not. Due to the lack of a hard-law basis, there cannot have been material enforcement actions.

2.5 What are the principal ESG-related litigation risks, and has there been material litigation with respect to ESG issues, other than enforcement actions?

Litigation relating to global warming poses major ESG-related risks for corporations in Japan. Such risks are eminent especially for energy companies that own coal-fired power plants. There have already been several instances of litigation where local residents brought lawsuits against operating coal-fired power plants or coal-fired power plant construction projects. Local resident plaintiffs led by NGOs/NPOs have brought civil litigation to stop the operation of power plants as well as administrative law litigation alleging illegality in the environmental assessment process.

From the perspective of the Japanese government, which has published an energy mix target for FY2030 indicating 26% with respect to coal-fired power plants as of FY2030, a certain number of coal-fired power plants (provided that they are efficient and emit fewer greenhouse gases) are necessary to ensure a stable electricity supply. Such a relatively high rate of coal-fired power plants stems from the particular Japanese circumstance where it is quite difficult to newly construct or even to restart existing nuclear power plants due to the Fukushima Daiichi meltdown disaster in 2011. A reasonable balance between the requirement of divestment from coal-fired power plants and securing a stable energy supply is one of the biggest energy-related issues in Japan.

In addition, litigation risks exist with respect to the working environment, such as in relation to sexual harassment and power harassment. Corporations are keen to hold internal education sessions about harassment issues to prevent such risks. Further, corporations potentially face the risk of litigation over unpaid overtime work. There has been a peculiar Japanese office culture or atmosphere implicitly requiring a certain volume of overtime work without payment. The aggregate unpaid amount equivalent to the salary for such overtime work is believed to be huge.

2.6 What are current key issues of concern for the proponents of ESG?

It is recognised that key issues of concern for the proponents of ESG in Japan are: (i) no sufficient information is available to determine ESG investment; (ii) no firm confidence on monetary rewards/return for ESG; (iii) a number of uncertainties with respect to the factors (such as politics, scientific technologies and influence of global warming) that are to be considered in connection with ESG; and (iv) a need to construct an internal framework to utilise relevant expertise (e.g., leading scientific technology).

3 Integration of ESG Into Business Operations and Planning

3.1 Who has principal responsibility for addressing ESG issues? What is the role of the management body in setting and changing the strategy of the corporate entity with respect to these issues?

In connection with business operations and planning, each director and/or the board of directors of a company has principal responsibility for properly addressing ESG issues. The director’s fiduciary duty (*chujitsu gimu*) and the duty of care as a prudent manager (*zenkan chuui gimu*) under the Companies Act can be the basis of such responsibility. Although there has been no court precedent where a director was accused of not addressing ESG issues, given the increasing importance of ESG and its impact on business operations and planning, directors could be regarded as breaching their fiduciary duty or the duty of care as a prudent manager unless they properly address ESG issues.

The role of such management body with respect to ESG issues comprises, *inter alia*, the following items:

- (a) Environment: (i) establishing the corporation’s policy for protecting the environment, energy efficiency and global warming, etc.; and (ii) establishing a compliance mechanism with respect to relevant environmental regulations.
- (b) Social: (i) ensuring a socially and environmentally sound supply chain; (ii) ensuring a good and healthy working environment (e.g., less overtime, more support for child-care); and (iii) establishing a policy for contribution to social welfare and donation.
- (c) Governance: ensuring a legally sound corporate governance system.

3.2 What governance mechanisms are in place to supervise management of ESG issues? What is the role of the board and board committees?

A number of large corporations have a special committee/group/team to work specifically on ESG-related matters with a mandate from the board of directors. In such cases, corporate actions are to be made in accordance with the detailed policies/strategies set by such internal organisations. They might contain a member from a third-party advisor to ensure that they can create appropriate and reasonable policies/strategies. The board will supervise such internal organisations.

3.3 What compensation or remuneration approaches are used to align incentives with respect to ESG?

More and more Japanese corporations are implementing incentive mechanisms with respect to compensation/remuneration for

directors/officers. For example, Omron Corporation, a well-known industrial automation and healthcare equipment company, is one of the front runners having such mechanism. According to the disclosed information, it has incorporated a sustainability evaluation into the component corresponding to the mid- and long-term business performance (which constitutes around 40% of the components that are to be considered to determine compensation/remuneration). The evaluation is to be made in accordance with the Dow Jones Sustainability Indices. Another example is Sony Corporation, which has incorporated environmental and product quality matters into the business performance component, according to the disclosed information.

3.4 What are some common examples of how companies have integrated ESG into their day-to-day operations?

According to the disclosed information of corporations that are highly ranked in privately published ESG rankings, the following are common examples of how companies integrate ESG into their day-to-day operations:

- (i) Diverting energy sources to renewable energy (e.g., joining the RE100 initiative).
- (ii) Pursuing greater energy efficiency in offices/factories.
- (iii) Providing products and services that contribute to social welfare (e.g., products/services to support areas hit by natural catastrophe).
- (iv) More diversity in board members or administrative positions (e.g., appointing more female directors/officers).
- (v) Promoting a better working environment (e.g., less overtime work, more remote work and respecting LGBT rights).

4 Finance

4.1 To what extent do providers of debt and equity finance rely on internally or externally developed ESG ratings?

It depends on the policy of each debt provider, but on the whole, providers have been becoming more reliant on ESG ratings. For example, according to the disclosed information, one of the Japanese mega banks has developed an ESG financing scheme where a third-party institution, which collaborates with the bank, evaluates ESG-related components of a potential borrower and the bank provides finance based on such evaluation. Another example is a Japanese regional bank that is developing a platform to digitalise ESG-related factors in order to facilitate ESG finance for small- or mid-sized business operators in provincial cities. Going forward, there is likely to be more financing that relies on ESG ratings.

4.2 Do green bonds or social bonds play a significant role in the market?

Green Bonds do play a significant role in the Japanese market, but Social Bonds less so for now. According to the Green Bond Issuance Platform formed by MoE, in 2019, the total issued amount of Green Bonds in Japan was approximately JPY 824 billion (approximately USD 7.8 billion) and the number of deals was 58. Such figures are relatively small compared to Europe/the US; however, considering that the total amount in 2014 was merely around JPY 34 billion (only one deal) and around JPY 222 billion in 2016 (11 deals), the market for Green Bonds has recently been rapidly expanding. In particular, the number of Green Bonds for renewable energy projects and green buildings is large.

On the other hand, Social Bonds are much fewer in number and total amount: eight deals and around JPY 219 billion in 2020. One example is a Social Bond issued by one of the mega banks, which is for medical, educational, job creation and affordable housing matters. We see some signs that the number of Social Bonds could increase (for example, due to the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic; see question 5.1 below) in the near future; however, for the moment, Social Bonds play less of a role in the Japanese market.

4.3 Do sustainability-linked bonds play a significant role in the market?

Sustainability-linked bonds have not yet played a significant role in Japan. If we follow the definition set by the International Capital Market Association (“ICMA”), which states that “Sustainability Bonds are any type of bond instrument where the proceeds will be exclusively applied to financing or re-financing a combination of Green and Social Projects and which are aligned with the four core components of the GBP and SBP”, it seems hard for issuers to meet such requirements. Meanwhile, according to the disclosed information, Tokyo Metro Co., Ltd., which operates the underground network in Tokyo, recently issued sustainability-linked bonds in accordance with ICMA rules. The purpose is to introduce more energy-efficient train carriages (train cars), install safety facilities at stations and install renewable energy facilities.

On the other hand, some Japanese banks have developed sustainability-linked loans whereby the bank provides a loan to a borrower who commits to utilise the loan proceeds for businesses/projects that are good for sustainability. It is reported that a third party has verified the mechanism of such loans.

4.4 What are the major factors impacting the use of these types of financial instruments?

The most important positive factor for both issuers and subscribers is that they can externally and internally publicise their approach of committing to addressing ESG issues by using such financial instruments (hereinafter, collectively referred to as the “ESG bonds”). The issuer has the chance to reach out to (new) ESG-friendly investors who would not have subscribed if the financial instrument had not been an ESG bond. Subscribers (investors) can show that their investment portfolio addresses the increasing need for ESG. Despite the relatively higher cost of ESG and their issuance being more time-consuming than for usual bonds, such positive factor is a big driver for involvement of issuers/investors in ESG bonds.

In the case of green project bonds where the financier for an environmentally friendly project (such as renewable energy projects) issues Green Bonds and procures money for its financing, the borrower may enjoy a lower interest rate or more favourable finance conditions as compared to conventional finance schemes (where big banks provide commitment loans).

4.5 What is the assurance and verification process for green bonds? To what extent are these processes regulated?

There is no hard-law regulation directly relating to Green Bonds. In addition, the *Green Bonds Handbook* published on the Green Bond Issuance Platform established by MoE does not require a second-party opinion, verification or certification; rather, it only states that such assurance is recommendable. Having said that,

under the current practice in Japan, it can be said that it is usual to have a second-party opinion/rating by a private credit rating company when a Green Bond is issued.

Under the laws of Japan, some rating companies are registered with the FSA, and others not. Registered rating companies are subject to the regulations under FIEA. On the other hand, with respect to those that are not registered, if a regulated financial service provider under FIEA (e.g., a securities company) retains such a credit rating company, the financial service provider must disclose to its client that the credit rating company has no registration, and the details of the method of rating, etc., used by the rating company, in accordance with Sub-paragraph 3 of Article 38 of FIEA. As such, the rating is regulated and an assurance and verification process is in place.

5 Impact of COVID-19

5.1 Has COVID-19 had a significant impact on ESG practices?

There is no report from a reliable source explaining how COVID-19 has impacted ESG practices in Japan. There seems to be a possibility that not a few companies could lose the financial leeway that allows them to continue ESG practices, owing to a sharp economic downturn because of COVID-19. However, for now, it is believed that there is no significant negative impact, and most corporations are believed to consider that ESG is still quite important even “with/after COVID-19”. At least, no corporation that has explicitly announced significant changes to their ESG practices has been identified.

Rather, reports and articles, which state that “with/after COVID-19” could have a positive impact on ESG practices, especially on social matters, can be easily found. Because of COVID-19, more corporations have become conscious of a safe and comfortable working environment, such as promoting a remote working environment to prevent infection. Under the circumstances of working from home, it is much less likely that employees will be stuck in an overtime working pattern, which has been one of the biggest employment problems in Japan. In addition, in the area of educational institutions, although most Japanese schools have insufficient tele-teaching systems, it is reported that schools are trying to improve the current situation.

6 Trends

6.1 What are the material trends related to ESG?

Firstly, one of the biggest recent developments in ESG is that the three Japanese mega banks announced that they will, essentially, refrain from newly providing finance to coal-fired power plants (and will also exit from existing finance in the future). New financing for coal-fired power plants has become markedly difficult. The move by these banks is regarded as a significant step to reasonably reduce the number of coal-fired power plants in Japan. In addition, METI recently announced its policy of significantly reducing old, inefficient coal-fired power plants.

The other material development/trend in Japan is ESG bonds becoming more popular. As described in question 4.2 above, there is a sign of a rise in the number of social or

sustainability-linked bonds. Though the vast majority of ESG bonds in Japan are still Green Bonds, going forward, the number of social and sustainability-linked bonds could increase. For example, according to the disclosed information, the Japan International Cooperation Agency (“JICA”) recently launched Social Bonds such that JICA appropriates the entire proceeds to international cooperation activities overseas. In addition, the Japan Student Services Organization launched Social Bonds to support students.

Lastly, investors are placing more importance on ESG disclosure as well as how investee companies commit to ESG. Some Japanese investors have recently revised their policy for exercising their shareholder’s rights such that action or inaction by investee companies that is problematic from the perspective of ESG shall, when the investors exercise their shareholder’s rights, be deemed to be a situation impairing stock value. This kind of policy will place great pressure on investee companies to promote ESG actions.

6.2 What will be the longer-term impact of COVID-19 on ESG?

Firstly, because of the sharp fall in the volume of greenhouse gas emissions due to lockdown and the other economic constraints all over the world due to COVID-19, it seems that some attention has temporarily shifted from global warming issues to other issues. In particular, social matters that have newly occurred due to COVID-19 seem to be gathering attention. For example, corporations and people are keen to ensure better and safer working and studying environments in offices and schools (such as by creating internal rules to secure a safe office/school environment, and installing secure and convenient tele-work/studying systems). In addition, social support for the people who are the most vulnerable to the pandemic (e.g., elderly people, college students and people with low incomes) is also attracting attention.

Secondly, due to the economic downturn and lots of constraints in the “with COVID-19” state, small- and mid-sized companies are suffering and will suffer huge disadvantages (compared to large companies) in terms of ensuring operating capital. Some argue that it is a good opportunity to develop and promote issuance of Social Bonds to support such vulnerable small- and mid-sized companies. As the amount of such bonds would be relatively small and the issuers are likely to have insufficient leeway to bear the relatively high issuing costs, the development of a certain kind of platform (such as one incorporating digitalisation of ESG scores), whereby small- and mid-sized companies can easily issue the bonds, would be necessary.

Thirdly, the need for amendment to the Companies Act to enable “virtual” shareholders’ meetings will be a longer-term issue. Japan’s (relatively soft) lockdown from April to June 2020 was terrible timing as regards convening shareholders’ meetings. A number of companies hold annual shareholders’ meetings in June, due to this being within three months after the fiscal year-end (the end of March is the customary fiscal year-end in Japan). As there is no provision in the Companies Act that enables companies to hold a “virtual” shareholders’ meeting in June, many companies were struggling with how to hold the meeting physically.



Kiyoshi Honda is a partner at Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu and specialises in Energy and Environment, Infrastructure, Finance, Real Estate and General Corporate Practice. From 2007 to 2008, he earned his double LL.M. in Environmental and Natural Resources Law from Lewis & Clark Law School (2007) and in International Business Law from University College London (2008). He is a frequent speaker at renewable energy project-related institutes and seminars, was a member of the committee for carbon offset certification organised by MoE and is Visiting Associate Professor at Musashino University, Graduate School of Law.

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

JP Tower, 2-7-2 Marunouchi
Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 100-7036
Japan

Tel: +81 3 6889 7275
Email: kiyoshi_honda@noandt.com
URL: www.noandt.com

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu is the first integrated full-service law firm in Japan and one of the foremost providers of international and commercial legal services based in Tokyo. The firm's overseas network includes offices in New York, Singapore, Bangkok, Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi and Shanghai, associated local law firms in Jakarta and Beijing where our lawyers are on-site, and collaborative relationships with prominent local law firms. In representing our leading domestic and international clients, we have successfully structured and negotiated many of the largest and most significant corporate, finance and real estate transactions related to Japan. In addition to our capabilities spanning key commercial areas, the firm is known for path-breaking domestic and cross-border risk management/corporate governance cases and large-scale corporate

reorganisations. The approximately 500 lawyers of the firm work together in customised teams to provide clients with the expertise and experience specifically required for each client matter.

www.noandt.com

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

ICLG.com

Other titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds
Anti-Money Laundering
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Aviation Law
Business Crime
Cartels & Leniency
Class & Group Actions
Competition Litigation
Construction & Engineering Law
Consumer Protection
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Investigations
Corporate Tax
Cybersecurity
Data Protection
Derivatives
Designs

Digital Business
Digital Health
Drug & Medical Device Litigation
Employment & Labour Law
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Change Law
Family Law
Fintech
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes
Franchise
Gambling
Insurance & Reinsurance
International Arbitration
Investor-State Arbitration
Lending & Secured Finance
Litigation & Dispute Resolution
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining Law

Oil & Gas Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pharmaceutical Advertising
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Project Finance
Public Investment Funds
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Renewable Energy
Restructuring & Insolvency
Sanctions
Securitisation
Shipping Law
Telecoms, Media & Internet
Trade Marks
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms