

Data Protection & Privacy 2022

Contributing editors
Aaron P Simpson and Lisa J Sotto



Publisher

Tom Barnes
tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Claire Bagnall
claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

Senior business development manager

Adam Sargent
adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Published by

Law Business Research Ltd
Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street
London, EC4A 4HL, UK

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between May and July 2021. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2021
No photocopying without a CLA licence.
First published 2012
Tenth edition
ISBN 978-1-83862-644-0

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112



Data Protection & Privacy

2022

Contributing editors**Aaron P Simpson and Lisa J Sotto****Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP**

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the tenth edition of *Data Protection & Privacy*, which is available in print and online at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes new chapters on Jordan, Pakistan and Thailand.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editors, Aaron P Simpson and Lisa J Sotto of Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP, for their continued assistance with this volume.



London
July 2021

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in August 2021
For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Contents

Introduction	5	Hong Kong	104
Aaron P Simpson and Lisa J Sotto Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP		Gabriela Kennedy, Karen H F Lee and Cheng Hau Yeo Mayer Brown	
EU overview	11	Hungary	113
Aaron P Simpson, David Dumont, James Henderson and Anna Pateraki Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP		Endre Várady and Eszter Kata Tamás VJT & Partners Law Firm	
The Privacy Shield	14	India	121
Aaron P Simpson and Maeve Olney Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP		Arjun Sinha, Mriganki Nagpal and Siddhartha Tandon AP & Partners	
Australia	20	Indonesia	128
Alex Hutchens, Jeremy Perier and Meena Muthuraman McCullough Robertson		Rusmaini Lenggogeni and Charvia Tjhai SSEK Legal Consultants	
Austria	28	Israel	136
Rainer Knyrim Knyrim Trieb Rechtsanwälte		Adi El Rom and Hilla Shribman Amit Pollak Matalon & Co	
Belgium	37	Italy	145
David Dumont and Laura Léonard Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP		Paolo Balboni, Luca Bolognini, Davide Baldini and Antonio Landi ICT Legal Consulting	
Brazil	49	Japan	154
Fabio Ferreira Kujawski, Paulo Marcos Rodrigues Brancher and Thiago Luís Sombra Mattos Filho Veiga Filho Marrey Jr e Quiroga Advogados		Akemi Suzuki and Takeshi Hayakawa Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu	
Canada	57	Jordan	164
Doug Tait and Kendall N Dyck Thompson Dorfman Sweatman LLP		Ma'in Nsair, Haya Al-Erqsousi and Mariana Abu-Dayah Nsair & Partners - Lawyers	
Chile	65	Malaysia	170
Claudio Magliona, Nicolás Yuraszeck and Carlos Araya Magliona Abogados		Jillian Chia Yan Ping and Natalie Lim SKRINE	
China	72	Malta	178
Gabriela Kennedy, Karen H F Lee and Cheng Hau Yeo Mayer Brown		Paul Gonzi and Sarah Cannataci Fenech & Fenech Advocates	
France	82	Mexico	187
Benjamin May and Marianne Long Aramis Law Firm		Abraham Díaz and Gustavo A Alcocer OLIVARES	
Germany	96	New Zealand	195
Peter Huppertz Hoffmann Liebs Fritsch & Partner		Derek Roth-Biester, Megan Pearce and Victoria Wilson Anderson Lloyd	

Pakistan	202	Switzerland	265
Saifullah Khan and Saeed Hasan Khan S.U.Khan Associates Corporate & Legal Consultants		Lukas Morscher and Leo Rusterholz Lenz & Staehelin	
Portugal	209	Taiwan	276
Helena Tapp Barroso and Tiago Félix da Costa Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados		Yulan Kuo, Jane Wang, Brian Hsiang-Yang Hsieh and Ruby Ming-Chuang Wang Formosa Transnational Attorneys at Law	
Romania	218	Thailand	284
Daniel Alexie, Cristina Crețu, Flavia Ștefura and Alina Popescu MPR Partners		John Formichella, Naytiwut Jamallsawat, Onnicha Khongthon and Patchamon Purikasem Formichella & Sritawat Attorneys at Law Co, Ltd	
Russia	226	Turkey	291
Ksenia Andreeva, Anastasia Dergacheva, Anastasia Kiseleva and Alena Neskromyuk Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP		Esin Çamlıbel, Beste Yıldızili Ergül, Naz Esen and Nazlı Bahar Bilhan Turunç	
Serbia	235	United Kingdom	299
Bogdan Ivanišević and Milica Basta BDK Advokati		Aaron P Simpson, James Henderson and Jonathan Wright Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP	
Singapore	242	United States	309
Lim Chong Kin Drew & Napier LLC		Aaron P Simpson and Lisa J Sotto Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP	
Sweden	257		
Henrik Nilsson Wesslau Söderqvist Advokatbyrå			

Japan

Akemi Suzuki and Takeshi Hayakawa

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

LAW AND THE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Legislative framework

1 Summarise the legislative framework for the protection of personally identifiable information (PII). Does your jurisdiction have a dedicated data protection law? Is the data protection law in your jurisdiction based on any international instruments on privacy or data protection?

The Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI), sits at the centre of Japan's regime for the protection of PII. Serving as a comprehensive, cross-sectoral framework, the APPI regulates private businesses using PII databases and is generally considered to embody the eight basic principles under the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data. The use of PII by the public sector is regulated by separate statutes or local ordinances providing for rules for the protection of PII held by governmental authorities.

The APPI is implemented by cross-sectoral administrative guidelines prepared by the Personal Information Protection Commission (the Commission). Concerning certain sectors, such as medical, financial and telecommunications, the Commission and the relevant governmental ministries have published sector-specific guidance providing for additional requirements given the highly sensitive nature of personal information handled by private business operators in those sectors. Numerous self-regulatory organisations and industry associations have also adopted their own policies or guidelines for the protection of PII.

The APPI has undergone several significant amendments. One of the recent significant amendments was promulgated on 12 June 2020 (the 2020 Amendment). The 2020 Amendment includes, inter alia, a statutory obligation to report certain data breaches to the Commission and notify affected individuals of data breaches that are likely to cause the violation of individual rights and interests. The 2020 Amendment is to be fully implemented on 1 April 2022.

Another recent amendment was promulgated on 19 May 2021 (the 2021 Amendment) and will expand the scope of the APPI to include rules applicable not only to private sectors but also to governmental sectors. The 2021 Amendment will be implemented in Spring 2022 (with exceptions).

Data protection authority

2 Which authority is responsible for overseeing the data protection law? Describe the investigative powers of the authority.

The Personal Information Protection Commission (the Commission) was established on 1 January 2016 as a cross-sectoral, independent governmental body to oversee the APPI. The Commission has the following powers under the APPI:

- to require reports concerning the handling of PII or anonymised information from private business operators using 'databases, etc' of PII (PII databases) or 'databases, etc' of anonymised information (anonymised information databases);
- to conduct an on-site inspection of offices or other premises of private business operators to raise questions and inspect records concerning their handling of PII or anonymised information;
- to give 'guidance' or 'advice' necessary for the handling of PII or anonymised information to private business operators using PII databases or anonymised information databases;
- upon violation of certain obligations of any private business operator using PII databases or anonymised information databases and to the extent deemed necessary to protect the rights of an affected individual, to 'recommend' cessation or other measures necessary to rectify the violation; and
- if recommended measures are not implemented and the Commission deems an imminent danger to the affected individual's material rights, to order such measures.

The Commission may delegate the power to require reports or conduct an on-site inspection as mentioned above to certain governmental ministries in cases where the Commission deems it necessary to be able to give 'guidance' or 'advice' effectively. Upon the introduction of the 2020 Amendment in April 2022, the Commission's power will be expanded to include the power to take the foregoing actions concerning 'pseudonymised information' and 'individual-related information'. Also, under the 2020 Amendment, the Commission will be empowered to require reports from, conduct an on-site inspection, to order measures against, foreign private business operators that are subject to the APPI, signalling the broader extraterritorial application of the APPI.

Cooperation with other data protection authorities

3 Are there legal obligations on the data protection authority to cooperate with other data protection authorities, or is there a mechanism to resolve different approaches?

Under the APPI, in cases where governmental ministries deem it necessary to ensure the proper handling of personal information, such governmental ministries may request the Commission to take appropriate measures following the provisions of the APPI.

Also, under the APPI, the Commission may provide foreign authorities enforcing foreign laws and regulations equivalent to the APPI with information that the Commission deems beneficial to the duties of such foreign authorities that are equivalent to the Commission's duties outlined in the APPI. Upon request from the foreign authorities, the Commission may consent that the information provided by the Commission be used for an investigation of a foreign criminal case, subject to certain exceptions.

Breaches of data protection

- 4 | Can breaches of data protection law lead to administrative sanctions or orders, or criminal penalties? How would such breaches be handled?

Under the APPI, criminal penalties may be imposed if:

- 1 failure to comply with any order issued by the Commission (subject to penal servitude of up to one year or a criminal fine of up to ¥1,000,000);
- 2 failure to submit reports, or submits untrue reports, as required by the Commission (subject to a criminal fine of up to ¥500,000);
- 3 refusal or interruption of an on-site inspection of the offices or other premises by the Commission (subject to a criminal fine of up to ¥500,000); or
- 4 theft or provision to a third party by any current or former officer, employee or representative of a private business operator of information from a PII database he or she handled in connection with the business of the private business operator to provide unlawful benefits to himself or herself or third parties (subject to penal servitude of up to one year or a criminal fine of up to ¥500,000).

If the foregoing offences are committed by an officer or employee of a subject private business operator that is a judicial entity, then the entity itself may also be held liable for a criminal fine. The amount of the criminal fine for the judicial entity is up to ¥100 million for the offences outlined in (1) and (4) and up to ¥500,000 for the offences outlined in (2) and (3).

SCOPE

Exempt sectors and institutions

- 5 | Does the data protection law cover all sectors and types of organisation or are some areas of activity outside its scope?

The Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI) contains notable exemptions, as follows:

- In respect of fundamental constitutional rights, media outlets and journalists, religious groups and political parties are exempt from the APPI to the extent of the processing of personal data for purposes of journalism, and religious and political activities, respectively. Currently, universities and other academic institutions also enjoy such exemptions for purposes of academic research; however, the 2021 Amendment will abolish such blanket exemption and provide for certain exceptions for academic research.
- The use of personally identifiable information (PII) for personal purposes is outside the scope of the APPI. The use of PII by not-for-profit organisations or sole proprietorships is within the scope of the APPI.

Communications, marketing and surveillance laws

- 6 | Does the data protection law cover interception of communications, electronic marketing or monitoring and surveillance of individuals? If not, list other relevant laws in this regard.

Secrecy of communications from the government's intrusion is a constitutional right. Interception of electronic communication by private persons is regulated by the Telecommunications Business Act of 1984 and the Act on the Limitation of Liability for Damages of Specified Telecommunications Service Providers and the Right to Demand Disclosure of Identification Information of the Senders of 2001. Marketing emails are restricted under the Act on Regulation of Transmission of Specified Electronic Mail of 2002 and the Act on Specified Commercial Transactions of 1976.

Other laws

- 7 | Identify any further laws or regulations that provide specific data protection rules for related areas.

Currently, the use of personal information by governmental sectors is regulated by the Act on the Protection of Personal Information Held by Administrative Organs of 2003, the Act on the Protection of Personal Information Held by Incorporated Administrative Agencies of 2003 and various local ordinances providing rules for the protection of PII held by local governments. From April 2022 onward, the foregoing statutes and ordinances will be consolidated into the APPI, with the APPI providing rules applicable to both private and governmental sectors.

Also, the Act on Utilisation of Numbers to Identify Specific Individuals in Administrative Process provides rules concerning the use of personal information acquired through the use of the individual social security and tax numbering system called My Number.

PII formats

- 8 | What forms of PII are covered by the law?

The APPI covers personal information made part of 'databases, etc' of PII (PII databases). 'PII databases' includes electronic databases and manual filing systems that are structured by reference to certain classification criteria so that information on specific individuals is easily searchable.

For purposes of the APPI, 'PII' is defined as information related to a living individual that can identify the specific individual by name, date of birth or other description contained in such information. Information that, by itself, is not personally identifiable but may be easily linked to other information and thereby can be used to identify a specific individual is also regarded as PII. PII also includes signs, code or data that identify physical features of specific individuals, such as fingerprint or face recognition data, or that are assigned to each individual by government or providers of goods or services, such as a driving licence number or passport number. PII comprising a PII database is called PII data.

The APPI and the 2020 Amendment provide for three types of data that are distinguished from PII. First, 'anonymised information' means information relating to a particular individual that has been irreversibly processed by applying designated methods for anonymisation such that the individual is no longer identifiable and cannot be re-identified. Anonymised information is not considered personal information, and may be disclosed to third parties without the consent of the relevant individual, provided that the business operator who processes and discloses anonymised information to third parties comply with certain disclosure requirements.

Second, the 2020 Amendment, which will be fully implemented on 1 April 2022, introduces the concepts of 'pseudonymised information' and 'individual-related information.' Pseudonymised information means information relating to a particular individual that has been processed by erasing or replacing all or part of identifiers in such a manner that the individual is no longer identifiable unless it is collated with other information. In most cases, pseudonymised information is considered personal information. The pseudonymised information may be used for data analysis or other internal use by operators, but it may not be disclosed to third parties except in certain cases.

Individual-related information is a concept newly introduced to impose certain additional obligations relating to a transfer of information that is not personally identifiable at the transferor but the transferee can identify the relevant individual by linking such information held by the transferee or otherwise. If a transferor anticipates that the transferee can identify the relevant individual of the data being transferred, the transferor shall confirm that the transferee has obtained consent from the relevant individual about the transfer.

Extraterritoriality

9 | Is the reach of the law limited to PII owners and processors of PII established or operating in the jurisdiction?

The APPI has an extraterritorial application. Specifically, the APPI applies to foreign private business operators using PII databases or anonymised information databases when they use or process, outside of Japan:

- PII of individuals residing in Japan as was obtained in connection with the provision of goods or services by such private business operators to Japanese resident individuals; or
- anonymised information produced by such private business operators based on such PII.

From 1 April 2022, the above will be amended to include indirectly obtained PII, as well as pseudonymised information and anonymised information produced based on such PII, of individuals residing in Japan.

Separately, the PII of individuals residing outside of Japan is considered to be protected under the APPI as long as such PII is held by private business operators established or operating in Japan.

Covered uses of PII

10 | Is all processing or use of PII covered? Is a distinction made between those who control or own PII and those who provide PII processing services to owners? Do owners', controllers' and processors' duties differ?

The APPI distinguishes between:

- 1 obligations imposed on private business operators using PII databases (PII data users); and
- 2 obligations imposed only on those private business operators using PII databases who control the relevant PII data (PII data owners).

Generally, service providers are subject to the obligations of PII data users but not subject to the obligations of PII data owners.

The obligations of all PII data users mentioned in (1) include:

- to specify the purposes for which the PII is used as explicitly as possible;
- to process the PII only to the extent necessary for achieving such specified purposes unless the relevant individual's prior consent is obtained, subject to limited exceptions;
- to notify the relevant individual of, or publicise, the purposes of use before or at the time of collecting PII unless such purposes were publicised before the collection of the PII;
- not to use deceptive or wrongful means in collecting PII;
- to obtain the consent of the individual before collecting sensitive personal information, which includes race, beliefs, social status, medical history, criminal records and the fact of having been a victim of a crime and disabilities (subject to certain exceptions);
- to endeavour to keep its PII data accurate and up to date to the extent necessary for the purposes of use, and erase, without delay, its PII data that is no longer needed to be used;
- to undertake necessary and appropriate measures to safeguard and protect against unauthorised disclosure of or loss of or damage to the PII data it holds;
- to conduct necessary and appropriate supervision over its employees and its service providers who process its PII data;
- not to disclose the PII data to any third party without the consent of the individual (subject to certain exemptions);
- to prepare and keep records of third-party transfers of personal data (subject to certain exceptions) (upon the 2020 Amendment, to disclose such records upon the individuals' request, subject to certain exceptions);

- when acquiring personal data from a third party other than data subjects (subject to certain exceptions), to verify the name of the third party and how the third party acquired such personal data; and
- not to conduct cross-border transfers of personal data without the consent of the individual (subject to certain exceptions).

The PII data owners mentioned in (2) have additional and more stringent obligations, which are imposed only in respect to PII data for which a PII data owner has the right to provide a copy of, modify (ie, correct, add or delete), discontinue using, erase and discontinue disclosing to third parties (retained PII data):

- to make accessible to the relevant individual certain information regarding the retained PII data, including:
 - the name of the PII data owner;
 - all purposes for which retained PII data held by the PII data owner is generally used; and
 - procedures for submitting a request or filing complaints to the PII data owner;
- to provide, without delay, a copy of retained PII data to the relevant individual upon his or her request (subject to certain exceptions);
- to correct, add or delete the retained PII data to the extent necessary for achieving the purposes of use upon the request of the relevant individual (subject to certain exceptions);
- to discontinue the use of or erase such retained PII data upon the request of the relevant individual if such use is or was made, or the retained PII data in question was obtained, in violation of the APPI (subject to certain exceptions); and
- to discontinue disclosure of retained PII data to third parties upon the request of the relevant individual if such disclosure is or was made in violation of the APPI (subject to certain exceptions).

Under the APPI, the following are excluded from the retained PII data and therefore do not trigger the above-mentioned obligations of PII data owners:

- any PII data where the existence or absence of such PII data would:
 - harm the life, body and property of the relevant individual or a third party;
 - encourage or solicit illegal or unjust acts;
 - jeopardise the safety of Japan and harm the trust or negotiations with other countries or international organisations; or
 - impede criminal investigations or public safety; and
- any PII data that is to be erased from the PII database within six months after it became part of the PII database.

LEGITIMATE PROCESSING OF PII

Legitimate processing – grounds

11 | Does the law require that the holding of PII be legitimised on specific grounds, for example to meet the owner's legal obligations or if the individual has provided consent?

The Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI) does not contain specific criteria for legitimate data collection or processing. The APPI does, however, prohibit the collection of personally identifiable information (PII) by deceptive or wrongful means, and requires that the purposes of use must be identified as specifically as possible, and must generally be notified or made available to the relevant individual in advance. Processing of PII beyond the extent necessary for such purposes of use without the relevant individual's prior consent is also prohibited, subject to limited exceptions. The 2020 Amendment clarifies that personal information should not be used in a manner that potentially facilitates illegal or unjustifiable conducts.

Legitimate processing – types of PII

12 | Does the law impose more stringent rules for specific types of PII?

The APPI imposes stringent rules for sensitive personal information, including race, beliefs, social status, medical history, disabilities, criminal records and the fact of having been a victim of a crime. Collection or disclosure under the 'opt-out' mechanism of sensitive personal information without the consent of the relevant individual is generally prohibited.

Also, the administrative guidelines for the financial sector provide for a similar category of sensitive information. Such information is considered to include trade union membership, domicile of birth and sexual orientation, in addition to sensitive personal information. The collection, processing or transfer of such sensitive information by financial institutions is prohibited, even with the consent of the relevant individual, except under limited circumstances permitted under such administrative guidelines.

Further, in January 2019, upon the decision by the European Commission that Japan ensures an adequate level of protection of personal data under article 45 of the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), the supplementary rules regarding the handling of PII data transferred from the European Economic Area based on an adequacy decision by the European Commission (the EEA Data Supplementary Rules) have taken effect. The EEA Data Supplementary Rules impose stringent rules for the PII data transferred from the European Economic Area based on an adequacy decision by the European Commission (EEA data). Upon Brexit, the effect of the adequacy decision by the European Commission has been sustained in the United Kingdom; therefore, EEA data includes the PII data transferred from the United Kingdom and the reference to 'EEA' includes the United Kingdom in this chapter. The Supplementary Rules can be summarised as follows:

- 1 In cases where EEA data includes data concerning sex life, sexual orientation or trade union membership it is categorised as a special category of PII data under the GDPR, such EEA data is treated as 'sensitive personal information' under the APPI.
- 2 EEA data is treated as retained PII data under the APPI, regardless of whether or not such EEA data is erased within six months.
- 3 When a private business operator using PII databases receives EEA data from the European Economic Area, the private business operator is required to confirm and record the purposes of use of such EEA data specified at the time of acquisition from the relevant data subject (original purposes of use).
- 4 When a private business operator using PII databases receives EEA data from another private business operator who received such EEA data from the European Economic Area, the first private business operator is also required to confirm and record the original purposes of use of such EEA data.
- 5 In each case of (3) and (4), the private business operator must specify the purposes of use of EEA data within the scope of the original purposes of use of such EEA data, and use such EEA data following such specified purposes of use.
- 6 When a private business operator using PII databases processes EEA data to create anonymised information under the APPI, the private business operator is required to delete any information that could be used to re-identify the relevant individuals, including any information concerning the method of the process for anonymisation.
- 7 In cases where a private business operator using PII databases proposes to transfer EEA data it received from the European Economic Area on to a third party transferee located outside of Japan (ie, onward transfer), the private business operator must:

- provide the data subjects of such EEA data with information concerning the transferee, and obtain prior consent to the proposed cross-border transfer from the data subject; or
- transfer relying on applicable exemptions of such cross-border transfer.

DATA HANDLING RESPONSIBILITIES OF OWNERS OF PII

Notification

13 | Does the law require owners of PII to notify individuals whose PII they hold? What must the notice contain and when must it be provided?

There are several notification requirements under the Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI).

First, the APPI requires all PII data users to notify individuals of, or make available to individuals, the purpose for which their PII is used, promptly after the collection of the PII, unless the purpose was publicised before the collection of the PII. Alternatively, such purpose must be expressly stated in writing if collecting PII provided in writing by the individual directly.

Second, when a private business operator using PII databases is to disclose PII data to third parties without the individual's consent under the 'opt-out' mechanism, one of the requirements that the private business operator must satisfy is that certain information regarding the third-party disclosure is notified, or made easily accessible, to the individual before such disclosure. Such information includes the types of information being disclosed and the manner of disclosure.

Third, when a private business operator using PII databases is to disclose PII data to third parties without the individual's consent under the 'joint-use' arrangement, the private business operator must notify or make easily accessible, certain information regarding the third-party disclosure before such disclosure. Such information includes items of PII data to be jointly used, the scope of third parties who would jointly use the PII data, the purpose of use by such third parties, and the name of a party responsible for the control of the PII data in question.

Fourth, the APPI requires each PII data owner to keep certain information accessible to those individuals whose retained PII data is held. Such information includes:

- the name of the PII data owner;
- all purposes for which retained PII data held by the PII data owner is generally used; and
- the procedures for submitting a request or filing complaints to the PII data owner.

If, based on such information, an individual requests the specific purposes of use of his or her retained PII data, the PII data owner is required to notify, without delay, the individual of such purposes.

Exemption from notification

14 | When is notice not required?

There is an exception to the notice requirement imposed on a private business operator using PII databases when collecting PII where among other circumstances:

- such notice would harm the interest of the individual or a third party;
- such notice would harm the legitimate interest of the private business operator; and
- the purposes of use are evident from the context of the collection of the relevant PII data.

Control of use

15 | Must owners of PII offer individuals any degree of choice or control over the use of their information? In which circumstances?

A PII data owner must:

- 1 disclose, without delay, retained PII data in written form to the relevant individual upon his or her request (under the 2020 Amendment, in a form chosen by the individual from among electromagnetic form, written form or other form prescribed by the owner of PII);
- 2 correct, add or delete the retained PII data to the extent necessary for achieving the purposes of use upon request from the relevant individual;
- 3 discontinue the use of or erase the retained PII data upon the request of the relevant individual if such use is or was made, or the retained PII data in question was obtained, in violation of the APPI;
- 4 discontinue disclosure to third parties of retained PII data upon the request of the relevant individual if such disclosure is or was made in violation of the APPI; and
- 5 discontinue the use of or erase, or discontinue disclosure to third parties, of retained PII data upon the request of the relevant individual if the PII data owner no longer needs to use such data, a data incident involving such data occurs, or processing of such data may violate the rights or legitimate interest of the individual.

Exemptions from obligations (3) and (4) are available where the discontinuance or erasure costs significantly or otherwise impose hardships on the PII data owner and one or more alternative measures to protect the individual's interests are taken.

Data accuracy

16 | Does the law impose standards in relation to the quality, currency and accuracy of PII?

The APPI requires all private business operators using PII databases to endeavour to:

- keep the PII data they hold accurate and up to date to the extent necessary for the purposes for which the PII data is to be used; and
- erase, without delay, such PII data that is no longer needed.

Under the 2020 Amendment, PII data owners must, upon the relevant individual's request, discontinue the use of or erase retained PII data that is no longer needed.

Amount and duration of data holding

17 | Does the law restrict the amount of PII that may be held or the length of time it may be held?

No. PII data may be held as long as is necessary for the purposes for which it is used. Under the APPI, private business operators using PII databases must endeavour to erase, without delay, such PII data that is no longer needed to be used.

Under the 2020 Amendment, such private business operators must, upon the relevant individual's request, discontinue the use of or erase retained PII data that is no longer needed.

Finality principle

18 | Are the purposes for which PII can be used by owners restricted? Has the 'finality principle' been adopted?

PII can generally be used only to the extent necessary to achieve such specified purposes as notified or made available to the relevant

individual. Use beyond such extent or for any other purpose must, in principle, be legitimised by the consent of the relevant individual.

Exemptions from the purposes for use requirement apply to, for instance, the use of PII pursuant to laws, and where use beyond specified purposes is needed to protect life, body and property of a person and it is difficult to obtain the consent of the affected individual.

Use for new purposes

19 | If the finality principle has been adopted, how far does the law allow for PII to be used for new purposes? Are there exceptions or exclusions from the finality principle?

Under the APPI, the purpose for use may be amended, without the consent of the relevant individual, to the limited extent that would be reasonably deemed to be related to the previous purposes.

PII may be used for such amended purposes, provided that the amended purposes be notified or made available to the affected individuals.

SECURITY

Security obligations

20 | What security obligations are imposed on PII owners and service providers that process PII on their behalf?

The Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI) provides that all PII data users must have in place 'necessary and appropriate' measures to safeguard and protect against unauthorised disclosure of or loss of or damage to the PII data they hold or process; and conduct necessary and appropriate supervision over their employees and service providers who process such PII data. What constitutes 'necessary and appropriate' security measures is elaborated on in the Personal Information Protection Commission's cross-sectoral administrative guidelines for the APPI (the Commission Guidelines). The Commission Guidelines set forth a long list of four types of mandatory or recommended security measures – organisational, personnel, physical and technical – as well as the requirement to adopt internal security rules or policies.

Some of the sector-specific guidelines, such as the administrative guidelines for the financial sector, provide for more stringent requirements on security measures.

Notification of data breach

21 | Does the law include (general or sector-specific) obligations to notify the supervisory authority or individuals of data breaches? If breach notification is not required by law, is it recommended by the supervisory authority?

Until 31 March 2022, the APPI does not set obligations to notify the regulators or affected individuals of any breaches of security. However, upon the occurrence of any such breach, notification to the Commission, governmental ministries delegated by the Commission or an accredited personal information protection organisation, if applicable, is generally required or recommended under the Commission Guidelines. Such reporting is not required if the compromised personal data is considered not to have leaked; for instance, if the relevant personal data is securely encrypted, was recovered before a third party had access to it or was destroyed and no third party is reasonably expected to view the relevant personal data. Regulatory reporting is also not required if the relevant data breach is minor; for instance, the erroneous transmission of emails or facsimiles or wrong delivery of packages where the compromised personal data is limited to the names of the sender and recipient.

Also, under the Commission Guidelines, notification of data breaches to data subjects may be necessary depending on the subject and manner of such breaches. If a particular data breach is not expected to result in damage to the relevant data subjects, such as where the breached personal data was securely encrypted, notification to data subjects will not be necessary.

The amendment to the APPI promulgated in 2020 (the 2020 Amendment), which is to be fully implemented on 1 April 2022, introduces a statutory obligation for private business operators to report to the Commission and notify affected individuals about a data breach that is highly likely to harm the rights and interests of affected individuals.

The enforcement rules for the 2020 Amendment provides that such reporting obligation will be triggered if:

- a data breach of sensitive personal information has occurred or is likely to have occurred;
- a data breach that may cause financial damage due to unauthorised use has occurred, or is likely to have occurred;
- a data breach that may have been committed with a wrongful purpose has occurred or is likely to have occurred; and
- a data breach where more than 1,000 data subjects have been or are likely to be affected.

As for reporting to the Commission, a business operator will be required to make both 'prompt reporting' and 'confirmatory reporting.' When becoming aware of a data breach of any of the categories mentioned above, a business operator must 'promptly' report to the Commission based on its knowledge of the data incident at that time. Subsequently, the business operator must make confirmatory reporting within 30 days (or 60 days if the data breach may have been committed for a wrongful purpose).

As for notification to affected data subjects, the enforcement rules for the 2020 Amendment require that the business operator notify them 'promptly in light of the relevant circumstances'. Unlike the obligation to report to the Commission, the business operator may be exempted from so notifying if it is difficult to notify them and sufficient alternative measures are taken to protect their rights and interests.

INTERNAL CONTROLS

Data protection officer

- 22 | Is the appointment of a data protection officer mandatory?
What are the data protection officer's legal responsibilities?

There is no statutory requirement to appoint a data protection officer. However, the appointment of a 'chief privacy officer' is generally recommended under the Personal Information Protection Commission's cross-sectoral administrative guidelines for the Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI) (the Commission Guidelines). The Commission Guidelines do not provide for the qualifications, roles or responsibilities of a chief privacy officer.

Record keeping

- 23 | Are owners or processors of PII required to maintain any internal records or establish internal processes or documentation?

PII data users are generally required under the Commission Guidelines to establish internal processes to safeguard PII data.

Under the APPI, private business operators using PII databases that have disclosed PII data to third parties must generally keep records of such disclosure. Also, private business operators receiving PII data from third parties rather than the relevant individuals must generally verify how the PII data was acquired by such third parties and keep records of such verification.

The foregoing obligation does not apply to the disclosure of PII data to outsourced processing service providers, as part of mergers and acquisitions transactions or for joint use, as long as the disclosure is not based on consent regarding the cross-border transfer restrictions.

New processing regulations

- 24 | Are there any obligations in relation to new processing operations?

No. However, the Commission Guidelines generally require that, when implementing security measures to safeguard the PII data it holds or processes, each private business operator using PII databases should consider the degree of the impact of any unauthorised disclosure or another incident on the right or interest of one or more data subjects affected by such an incident.

REGISTRATION AND NOTIFICATION

Registration

- 25 | Are PII owners or processors of PII required to register with the supervisory authority? Are there any exemptions?

Under the Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI), PII data users who disclose PII data (other than sensitive personal information) under the 'opt-out' mechanism are required to submit a notification to the Personal Information Protection Commission (the Commission) before such disclosure. According to the Commission, the primary target of this requirement is mailing list brokers.

Formalities

- 26 | What are the formalities for registration?

Private business operators using PII databases who disclose PII data under the 'opt-out' mechanism are required to notify the Commission, in a prescribed format, of the categories of personal data to be disclosed, the method of disclosure, how the relevant individual may request to cancel such 'opt-out' disclosure to the private business operators and other designated matters. Upon receipt of such notification, the Commission will publicise certain information included in the notification.

Penalties

- 27 | What are the penalties for a PII owner or processor of PII for failure to make or maintain an entry on the register?

No penalties are statutorily provided for the failure to submit a notification of the 'opt-out' disclosure.

Refusal of registration

- 28 | On what grounds may the supervisory authority refuse to allow an entry on the register?

Not applicable, as PII users are not required to register with the supervisory authority other than the submission of a notification to the Commission under the 'opt-out' mechanism.

Public access

- 29 | Is the register publicly available? How can it be accessed?

Notifications of the 'opt-out' disclosure mentioned are partially made public on the Commission's website.

Effect of registration

30 | Does an entry on the register have any specific legal effect?

A notification of the 'opt-out' disclosure is a requirement to lawfully disclose PII data (other than sensitive personal information) to third parties without the relevant individual's consent under the 'opt-out' mechanism.

Other transparency duties

31 | Are there any other public transparency duties?

Apart from the matters required under the APPI to notify individuals as separately mentioned in this chapter, the Commission Guidelines recommend that private business operators using PII databases make public an outline of the processing of PII data such as whether the private business operators outsource the processing of PII data and the contents of the processing to be outsourced.

Also, the administrative guidelines for the financial sector recommend that private business operators using PII databases make public:

- the purpose of the use of personal information specified according to the types of customers;
- whether the private business operators outsource the processing of PII data;
- the contents of the processing to be outsourced;
- the types of personal information;
- the methods of obtaining personal information; and
- a statement to the effect that upon request from individuals, the use of retained PII data will be discontinued.

TRANSFER AND DISCLOSURE OF PII

Transfer of PII

32 | How does the law regulate the transfer of PII to entities that provide outsourced processing services?

The Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI) generally prohibits disclosure of PII data to third parties without the relevant individual's consent. As an exception to such prohibition, the transfer of all or part of PII data to persons that provide outsourced processing services is permitted to the extent such services are necessary for achieving the permitted purposes of use. Private business operators using PII databases are required to engage in 'necessary and appropriate' supervision over such service providers to safeguard the transferred PII data. Necessary and appropriate supervision by private business operators is generally considered to include:

- proper selection of service providers;
- entering into a written contract setting forth necessary and appropriate security measures; and
- collecting necessary reports and information from the service providers.

Restrictions on disclosure

33 | Describe any specific restrictions on the disclosure of PII to other recipients.

In principle, the APPI prohibits disclosure of PII to a third party without the individual's consent. Important exceptions to the general prohibition include the following, in addition to disclosure for outsourced processing services, the following restrictions apply.

Disclosure under the 'opt-out' mechanism

A private business operator using PII databases may disclose PII data to third parties without the individual's consent, provided that:

- it is prepared to cease such disclosure upon request from the individual;
- certain information regarding such disclosure is notified, or made easily accessible, to the individual before such disclosure; and
- such information is notified to the Personal Information Protection Commission (the Commission) in advance.

Transfer in mergers and acquisitions transactions

PII data may be transferred without the consent of the individual in connection with the transfer of a business as a result of a merger or other transactions.

Disclosure for joint use

A private business operator using PII databases user may disclose PII data it holds to a third party for joint use, provided that certain information regarding such joint use is notified, or made easily accessible, to the individual before such disclosure. Such disclosure is most typically made when sharing customer information among group companies to provide seamless services within the permitted purposes of use. Information required to be notified or made available includes items of PII data to be jointly used, the scope of third parties who would jointly use the PII data, the purpose of use by such third parties, and the name of a party responsible for the control of the PII data in question.

Cross-border transfer

34 | Is the transfer of PII outside the jurisdiction restricted?

Under the APPI, the transfer of PII data to a third party located outside Japan is generally subject to the prior consent of the relevant individual, subject to the important exceptions mentioned below.

First, no prior consent of the relevant individual is required if the third party is located in a foreign country that the Commission considers has the same level of protection of personal information as Japan. On 23 January 2019, countries in the European Economic Area were designated as such by the Personal Information Protection Commission in exchange for the parallel decision by the European Commission that Japan ensures an adequate level of protection of personal data under article 45 of the General Data Protection Regulation. Such designation by the Commission covers the United Kingdom after Brexit.

The second exception is applicable where the relevant third-party transferee has established a system to continuously ensure its undertaking of the same level of protective measures as private business operators using PII databases would be required under the APPI. According to the Personal Information Protection Commission's cross-sectoral administrative guidelines for the APPI (the Commission Guidelines), for this exception to apply, the private business operator and the foreign third party may ensure in a contract that:

- the third party undertakes such protective measures; and
- if the third party is an intra-group affiliate, the data user and the foreign third party may rely on a privacy statement or internal policies applicable to the group that are appropriately drafted and enforced.

Also, this exception is generally applicable if the foreign third party has certification from an internationally recognised framework of protection of personal data; specifically, certification under the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation's Cross Border Privacy Rules system.

The 2020 Amendment imposes enhanced obligations on cross-border transfer. First, when obtaining prior consent to the cross-border transfer from data subjects whose data is to be transferred overseas, the private business operator must provide them with the name of the foreign country where the relevant PII is transferred to, the personal information protection system of the foreign country and actions to be

undertaken by the relevant third-party transferees for the protection of personal information.

Also, regarding the above second exception, the 2020 Amendment stipulates that the transferor shall periodically monitor the status of implementation by the foreign third-party transferee of protective measures and any system of the foreign country that may affect the implementation measures, and take necessary and appropriate measures if the implementation of such protective measures is hindered. Upon request of affected data subjects, the transferor will also be required to provide them with information useful to the data subjects.

Notification of cross-border transfer

35 | Does cross-border transfer of PII require notification to or authorisation from a supervisory authority?

No, cross-border transfer of PII does not trigger a requirement to notify or obtain authorisation from a supervisory authority.

Further transfer

36 | If transfers outside the jurisdiction are subject to restriction or authorisation, do these apply equally to transfers to service providers and onwards transfers?

The restrictions on the cross-border transfers of PII under the APPI are equally applicable to transfers to service providers. They may also apply to onward transfers in the sense that the initial private business operators must ensure that not only the transferors of such onward transfers but also their transferees adhere to the cross-border restrictions of the APPI.

RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS

Access

37 | Do individuals have the right to access their personal information held by PII owners? Describe how this right can be exercised as well as any limitations to this right.

Under the Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended (APPI), individuals have the right to require disclosure of their PII held by PII data owners. Specifically, upon request from individuals, PII data owners are obligated to disclose, without delay, retained PII data of the requesting individuals (the obligation of disclosure). Such disclosure, however, is exempted as a whole or in part if such disclosure would:

- prejudice the life, body, property or other interest of the individual or any third party;
- cause material impediment to the proper conduct of the business of the PII owners; or
- result in a violation of other laws.

Other rights

38 | Do individuals have other substantive rights?

Under the APPI, individuals have the right to require, and PII data owners are obliged to:

- correct, add or delete the retained PII data to the extent necessary for achieving the purposes of use – the obligations of correction etc;
- discontinue the use of or erase the retained PII data if such use is or was made, or the retained PII data in question was obtained, in violation of the APPI (subject to certain exceptions) – the obligation of cessation of use, etc); and
- discontinue disclosure to third parties of retained PII data if such disclosure is or was made in violation of the APPI (subject to certain exceptions) – the obligation of cessation of third-party disclosure.

Also, PII data owners are subject to an obligation to cease disclosure of PII data to third parties if the relevant individual 'opts out' of the third-party disclosure.

Under the 2020 Amendment, individuals have the right to require PII data owners to discontinue the use of or erase, or discontinue disclosure to third parties, of retained data, if the data is no longer needed, the data was divulged in a data incident or the processing of the data may result in violation of the individual's rights and interests.

Compensation

39 | Are individuals entitled to monetary damages or compensation if they are affected by breaches of the law? Is actual damage required or is injury to feelings sufficient?

The APPI does not provide for individuals' statutory right to receive compensation or the private business operators' obligation to compensate individuals upon a breach of the APPI. However, under the civil code of Japan, an individual may bring a tort claim based on the violation of his or her privacy right. Breaches of the APPI by a PII data owner will be a factor as to whether or not a tortious act existed. If a tort claim is granted, not only actual damages but also emotional distress may be compensated to the extent reasonable.

Enforcement

40 | Are these rights exercisable through the judicial system or enforced by the supervisory authority or both?

Individuals' right to monetary compensation is enforced through the judicial system. Concerning violations by PII data owners of the obligations to respond to individuals' requests as separately mentioned in this chapter (ie, obligations of disclosure, correction, etc, cessation of use, etc, and cessation of third-party disclosure), individuals may exercise their rights to require PII data owners to respond to such requests through the judicial system, provided that they first request the relevant PII data users to comply with such obligations and two weeks have passed after such request was made. Separately, the Personal Information Protection Commission may recommend PII data owners to undertake measures necessary to remedy such violations if it deems it necessary to do so for the protection of individuals' rights.

EXEMPTIONS, DEROGATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

Further exemptions and restrictions

41 | Does the law include any derogations, exclusions or limitations other than those already described? Describe the relevant provisions.

No.

SUPERVISION

Judicial review

42 | Can PII owners appeal against orders of the supervisory authority to the courts?

Administrative law in Japan usually provides for an appeal of a governmental ministry's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction. Therefore, if the Personal Information Protection Commission or the relevant governmental ministry to which powers of the Personal Information Protection Commission (the Commission) are duly delegated by the Commission takes administrative actions against a private business operator using PII databases, it will generally be able to challenge the actions judicially.

SPECIFIC DATA PROCESSING

Internet use

43 | Describe any rules on the use of 'cookies' or equivalent technology.

There are no binding rules applicable to the use of 'cookies' or equivalent technology. Any data collected through the use of cookies is generally considered not to be personally identifiable by itself. If, however, such data can be easily linked to other information and thereby can identify a specific individual, then the data will constitute personal data subject to the Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003, as amended.

Also, the 2020 Amendment, which will be fully implemented on 1 April 2022, has introduced the concept of 'individual-related information'. Individual-related information means information concerning an individual that is not personal information, pseudonymised information, or anonymised information for a transferor but a transferee can identify the relevant individual by linking such transferred information with the PII held by the transferee. In the context of cookies sync, when if they are not personally identifiable for a transferor but are expected to be synched and used by a transferee as personally identifiable data, these cookies would constitute 'individual-related information', and the transferor must confirm that the transferee has obtained consent from the relevant individual to the collection of such data as personal data.

Electronic communications marketing

44 | Describe any rules on marketing by email, fax or telephone.

Unsolicited marketing by email is regulated principally by the Act on Regulation of Transmission of Specified Electronic Mail. Under the Act, marketing emails can be sent only to a recipient who:

- has 'opted in' to receive them;
- has provided the sender with his or her email address in writing (for instance, by providing a business card);
- has a business relationship with the sender; or
- makes his or her email address available on the internet for business purposes.

Also, the Act requires the senders to allow the recipients to 'opt out'. Marketing emails sent from overseas will be subject to this Act as long as they are received in Japan.

Unsolicited telephone marketing is also regulated by different statutes. It is generally prohibited to make marketing calls to a recipient who has previously notified the caller that he or she does not wish to receive such calls.

Cloud services

45 | Describe any rules or regulator guidance on the use of cloud computing services.

The Personal Information Protection Commission has published its stance that the use of cloud server services to store PII data does not constitute disclosure to outsourced processing service providers as long as it is ensured by contract or otherwise that the service providers are properly restricted from accessing PII data stored on their servers. If the use of a particular cloud computing service is considered to constitute disclosure to outsourced processing service providers, private business operators using PII databases are required to engage in 'necessary and appropriate' supervision over the cloud service providers to safeguard the transferred PII data. Additionally, private business operators need to confirm that the service providers, if the servers are located outside of Japan, meet the equivalency test so as not to trigger the requirement to obtain prior consent from the individuals to the cross-border transfer of data.

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

Akemi Suzuki

akemi_suzuki@noandt.com

Takeshi Hayakawa

takeshi_hayakawa@noandt.com

JP Tower
2-7-2 Marunouchi
Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 100-7036
Japan
Tel +81 3 6889 7000
www.noandt.com

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

46 | Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in international data protection in your jurisdiction?

The amendment to the Act on the Protection of Personal Information of 2003 (APPI) was promulgated on 12 June 2020. The main topics of this amendment include the following.

- to strengthen the individuals' rights to require personally identifiable information (PII) data owners to discontinue the use of or to erase the retained PII data;
- to establish obligations of private business operators using PII databases to notify the regulators or affected individuals in case of leakage of PII that is likely to cause the violation of individual rights and interests;
- to create a new concept of 'pseudonymised information', which is the intermediate concept between PII and anonymised information;
- to create a new concept of 'individual-related information' and restricting a transfer of such information related to the individual in certain cases where a transferee can identify the relevant individual by linking such information related to an individual with the PII held by the transferee;
- to strengthen the current criminal penalties (eg, violation of any order issued by the Personal Information Protection Commission (the Commission) by a judicial entity will be subject to a criminal fine up to ¥100 million); and
- to strengthen the Personal Information Protection Commission's (the Commission) powers to supervise foreign private business operators to which the APPI is applicable.

The portion related to the criminal penalties took effect on 12 December 2020 while the remainder, which would have a more impact on private businesses, will come into effect on 1 April 2022.

Further, another amendment to the APPI was promulgated on 14 May 2021 (the 2021 Amendment). The 2021 Amendment mostly relates to the use of personal information by governmental sectors. Currently, the use of personal information by governmental sectors is regulated by the Act on the Protection of Personal Information Held by Administrative Organs of 2003 (APPIHAO), the Act on the Protection

of Personal Information Held by Incorporated Administrative Agencies of 2003 (APPIHIAA) and a large number of different municipality-level ordinances. Under the 2021 Amendment, the APPIHAO and APPIHIAA will be consolidated into the APPI, and the Commission will be tasked with promulgating a harmonised municipality-level ordinance for the handling by municipalities of PII and supervising the use of personal information by various governmental sectors. The 2021 Amendment will take effect in Spring 2022, except for amendments relating to municipalities, which are expected to take effect in 2023.

Coronavirus

47 | What emergency legislation, relief programmes and other initiatives specific to your practice area has your state implemented to address the pandemic? Have any existing government programmes, laws or regulations been amended to address these concerns? What best practices are advisable for clients?

The Japanese government has not introduced any emergency legislation, relief programmes or other initiatives specific to data protection and privacy; however, the Personal Information Protection Commission has publicised guidance relating to the processing of personal data concerning the pandemic.

Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance	Distribution & Agency	Investment Treaty Arbitration	Public M&A
Advertising & Marketing	Domains & Domain Names	Islamic Finance & Markets	Public Procurement
Agribusiness	Dominance	Joint Ventures	Public-Private Partnerships
Air Transport	Drone Regulation	Labour & Employment	Rail Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation	e-Commerce	Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy	Real Estate
Anti-Money Laundering	Electricity Regulation	Licensing	Real Estate M&A
Appeals	Energy Disputes	Life Sciences	Renewable Energy
Arbitration	Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Litigation Funding	Restructuring & Insolvency
Art Law	Environment & Climate Regulation	Loans & Secured Financing	Right of Publicity
Asset Recovery	Equity Derivatives	Luxury & Fashion	Risk & Compliance Management
Automotive	Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits	M&A Litigation	Securities Finance
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Financial Services Compliance	Mediation	Securities Litigation
Aviation Liability	Financial Services Litigation	Merger Control	Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Banking Regulation	Fintech	Mining	Ship Finance
Business & Human Rights	Foreign Investment Review	Oil Regulation	Shipbuilding
Cartel Regulation	Franchise	Partnerships	Shipping
Class Actions	Fund Management	Patents	Sovereign Immunity
Cloud Computing	Gaming	Pensions & Retirement Plans	Sports Law
Commercial Contracts	Gas Regulation	Pharma & Medical Device Regulation	State Aid
Competition Compliance	Government Investigations	Pharmaceutical Antitrust	Structured Finance & Securitisation
Complex Commercial Litigation	Government Relations	Ports & Terminals	Tax Controversy
Construction	Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation	Private Antitrust Litigation	Tax on Inbound Investment
Copyright	Healthcare M&A	Private Banking & Wealth Management	Technology M&A
Corporate Governance	High-Yield Debt	Private Client	Telecoms & Media
Corporate Immigration	Initial Public Offerings	Private Equity	Trade & Customs
Corporate Reorganisations	Insurance & Reinsurance	Private M&A	Trademarks
Cybersecurity	Insurance Litigation	Product Liability	Transfer Pricing
Data Protection & Privacy	Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Product Recall	Vertical Agreements
Debt Capital Markets		Project Finance	
Defence & Security			
Procurement			
Dispute Resolution			

Also available digitally

[lexology.com/gtdt](https://www.lexology.com/gtdt)