

**International
Comparative
Legal Guides**



Practical cross-border insights into consumer protection law

**Consumer Protection
2023**

Fourth Edition

Contributing Editors:

**Christopher Eberhardt & Nigel Parr
Ashurst LLP**

ICLG.com

Expert Analysis Chapters

1

27+1 Shades of Green? Sustainability and Consumer Protection – A Comparison of Developments Across Europe
Gabriele Accardo, Giulia Carnazza, Christopher Eberhardt & Maria Held, Ashurst LLP

8

Direct Marketing of Goods and Services to Consumers in the EU – GDPR *et al.* at Work
Dr. Dennis Voigt, MELCHERS Rechtsanwälte PartG mbB

Q&A Chapters

13

Argentina
FERREYRA ROMEA Legal & Compliance:
Sebastián Ferreyra Romea & Ariel D. Garay

20

Belgium
Ashurst LLP: Irene Antypas, Bram Delmotte &
Maxime Narinx

31

Brazil
Souto Correa Advogados: Jorge Cesa,
Roberta Feiten & Isabela Camara

41

Canada
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP: Laura Dougan &
Emily Hazlett

48

Chile
FerradaNehme: Stella Muñoz, Aníbal Korn &
Mario Garfias

62

Cyprus
Harris Kyriakides: Eleni Neoptolemou,
Munevver Kasif & Nikolaos Tampoukos

71

France
Ashurst LLP: Christophe Lemaire & Agathe Camus

85

Germany
Oppenhoff & Partner: Georg Lecheler & Fee Mäder

93

Italy
Ashurst LLP: Denis Fosselard, Gabriele Accardo &
Giulia Carnazza

104

Japan
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu: Oki Mori &
Mai Umezawa

116

Korea
Barun Law LLC: Kwang Hyun Back, Jai Hyun So,
Jung Min Yu & Kelly Yoonyoung Choi

124

Malaysia
Rahmat Lim & Partners: Azman bin Othman Luk &
Penny Wong Sook Kuan

132

Singapore
Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP: Kala Anandarajah &
Alvin Tan Yong Joon

141

Spain
Monereo Meyer Abogados: Nadja Vietz &
Alberto Escubós Alegre

149

Ukraine
GRECO Law Company: Pavlo Kuftyryev,
Artemii Vorobiov & Mariya Kiperman

165

United Kingdom
Ashurst LLP: Nigel Parr & Christopher Eberhardt

175

USA
Clarkson: Ryan J. Clarkson, Shireen M. Clarkson,
Glenn A. Danas & Lauren E. Anderson

Japan

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu



Oki Mori



Mai Umezawa

1 General

1.1 What legislation, regulations and guidelines are relevant to consumer protection in your jurisdiction?

There is no uniform code of consumer law in Japan. The most fundamental law for consumer protection is the Basic Act on Consumer Policies (*shohisha-kihon-hou*). The Act stipulates the fundamental principles of consumer policy and responsibilities of businesses; however, it merely stipulates non-binding targets and political policies to be followed by the national government and does not stipulate the legal rights and obligations of citizens to receive relief through court procedure. Specific rules on consumer protection are set out in a number of individual laws. For instance, there are laws that regulate businesses in specific industry sectors (e.g., the Act on Specified Commercial Transactions (*tokutei-shotoribiki-hou*) (“ASCT”) and the Installment Sales Act (*kappu-hanbai-hou*)), as well as special laws of the Civil Code (e.g., the Consumer Contract Act (*shohisha-keiyaku-hou*) and the Product Liability Act (*seisobutsu-sekinin-hou*)). Among these, with regard to the laws regulating businesses in specific industry sectors, detailed regulations are stipulated in subordinate norms such as Cabinet Orders and Ministerial Ordinances, and in many cases, interpretations of these regulations are indicated in guidelines issued by supervisory authorities. Therefore, it is necessary to understand these interpretations in order to correctly understand the contents of the laws and regulations.

1.2 What is the definition of “consumer” (i.e., who does consumer protection law protect)?

There is no unified definition of “consumer” that is common to all consumer protection laws, and consumers or similar targets to be protected are often defined in individual laws. For example, the Consumer Contract Act, which broadly regulates contractual relationships between consumers and businesses, defines “consumers” as “an individual, excluding those who become a party to a contract as a business or for business purposes”. As described above, individuals, excluding those who act as sole proprietors, in principle, are the targets to be protected.

1.3 Who is/which entities are required to comply with consumer protection law?

There is no unified definition of entities regulated by consumer protection laws, and the definition is based on individual laws. For example, the Consumer Contract Act defines a “trader” as “a

corporation or association, or an individual who becomes a party to a contract as a business or for business purposes”. However, we use the term “business” in this chapter, unless otherwise noted, to refer to entities that are required to comply with consumer laws.

1.4 Which agency/agencies is/are responsible for enforcing consumer protection law (i.e., who is the investigator and who is the adjudicator)?

The Consumer Affairs Agency (“CAA”) is responsible for investigating and enforcing many consumer protection laws; however, such responsibility may differ depending on individual laws.

1.5 Are there any specific bodies that regulate/enforce consumer protection law in specific sectors?

Other specific agencies, which regulate/enforce consumer protection laws, are described in the table below.

Regulatory/Enforcement Agency	Law
Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare	Act on Securing Quality, Efficacy and Safety of Products Including Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices (<i>yakki-hou</i>) (“APMD”) (which covers pharmaceuticals and cosmetics, etc.).
Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (“METI”)	Installment Sales Act (which covers credit transactions, etc.).
Financial Services Agency	Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (<i>kinyu-sho-bin-toribiki-hou</i>). Money Lending Business Act (<i>kashikingyo-hou</i>).
Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism	Real Estate Brokerage Act (<i>takkeingyo-hou</i>).
Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications/CAA	Act on Regulation of Transmission of Specified Electronic Mail (<i>tokutei-den-shimail-hou</i>) (which regulates spam mail and direct marketing).

2 Protections in Relation to the Quality and Function of Goods and Services

2.1 Please describe any protections regarding the quality and function of goods and services acquired by consumers.

In relation to laws that regulate labelling related to quality and function, which are necessary for consumers to select products and services, there are laws such as the Act against Unjustifiable Premiums and Misleading Representations (*keihin-hyogi-hou*) (“AUPMR”), the Household Goods Quality Labeling Act (*kateiyobin-hinshitsu-hyogi-hou*) (“HGQLA”), the Food Labeling Act (*shokuhin-hyogi-hou*), and the Health Promotion Act (*kenko-zoshin-hou*).

2.2 Please outline the substantive tests for these protections.

The AUPMR regulates misleading representations and the offering of excessive premiums in connection with a transaction. Among them, the following three types of regulations are stipulated for misleading representations:

- I. Representation relating to the content of goods or services portrayed as being better than the actual content (unjust misrepresentation of the content of goods or services).
- II. Representation of trade terms of goods or services portrayed as being more advantageous than the actual terms (unjust misrepresentation concerning trade terms of goods or services).
- III. Other unjust misrepresentations (misrepresentations designated by the Prime Minister).

Regulations I. and II. prohibit any representation that (i) misleads general consumers into believing that the content or trade terms of goods or services are significantly better or more advantageous than the actual/competitive businesses' goods or services, (ii) induces customers unjustly, and (iii) is likely to interfere with general consumers' voluntary and rational choice-making.

Regulation III. governs the labelling of goods' countries of origin. For example, labelling using a country name or a national flag, etc. other than the country of origin is prohibited, as this makes it difficult for general consumers to discern whether a product was produced in the country of origin.

In addition, the AUPMR requires businesses to take administrative measures to prevent misleading representations, and the Prime Minister has prescribed the specific guidelines therefor (“the Guidelines for Administrative Measures to be Taken by Entrepreneurs in Offering Premiums and Making Representations”). The Guidelines were amended in June 2022 in light of the recent increase in affiliate advertising. The revised guidelines stipulate that even in cases such as affiliate advertising, where the creator of the representation is different from the business making the representation, as long as the business is involved in determining the content of the representation, the business making the representation is required to manage the representation made by the affiliate, etc. to ensure that no misrepresentation occurs. The revised guidelines also provide examples of the specific measures to be taken by businesses.

The HGQLA specifically stipulates what must be displayed concerning the quality of household goods used by consumers on a daily basis and how they should be displayed for each product, etc. For example, regarding textile goods such as sweaters, it is stipulated that the composition of fibres, home washing, etc., care labelling, and the name and address or phone number of the labeller shall be displayed.

The Food Labeling Act stipulates labelling standards for food for sale (matters to be labelled (e.g., name, allergen, preservation method, expiration date, ingredients, additives, nutritional value and caloric value, country of origin, etc.), and matters to be complied with by businesses when labelling), etc. The concrete standards are stipulated by the Food Labeling Standards (Cabinet Office Order), which are subsidiary standards of the Food Labeling Act, for each category of processed foods, perishable foods and additives.

2.3 What types of goods and services are covered by the protections relating to the quality of goods and services?

The AUPMR broadly covers representations concerning the content and trade terms of goods or services supplied by businesses.

The HGQLA only covers some specific goods, including “textile goods”, “plastic goods”, “electrical appliances and apparatus”, and “miscellaneous manufactured goods” used by general consumers in their daily lives, which are designated by a Cabinet Order as those whose quality is difficult for general consumers to discern at the time of purchase, where there is a particular necessity to discern their quality.

The Food Labeling Act covers all food and drinks. The Food Labeling Standards, which specifically stipulate labelling information, requires the labelling of nutrients in processed foods, etc.

2.4 Are there any exceptions to these protections?

“Food” covered by the Food Labeling Act includes additives but excludes pharmaceuticals, etc., which are covered by the APMD.

2.5 What remedies are available for a breach of the protections in relation to the quality and function of goods and services?

Since these laws are fundamentally administrative laws, when there are violations of these laws, the Commissioner of the CAA, etc. takes administrative actions, provided, however, that no administrative actions shall order regulated entities to help victims recover from any damage suffered. In the case of violation of the AUPMR, the Commissioner of the CAA may order the violating business to pay a surcharge; however, the paid surcharge must not be appropriated for consumer recovery. If consumers seek relief from businesses, they must make a claim for compensation based on tort liability, etc. However, in cases where a considerable number of consumers have incurred financial damage according to a contract between the consumer and the business, and where certain requirements are satisfied, a business certified by the Prime Minister (a “Specified Qualified Consumer Organization” (a Qualified Consumer Organization that meets additional requirements)) may file a special lawsuit, “Court Proceedings for Redress for Damage”, against a business. If a request by a Specified Qualified Consumer Organization is granted in the Court Proceedings for Redress for Damage, the damages can be recovered by paying a certain amount of money to each consumer. This system came into effect in 2016; however, there have been only four lawsuits filed as of the end of December 2022. See question 7.6 and 7.7.

Though it is not a remedy, in order to protect the interests of many unspecified consumers, Qualified Consumer Organizations certified as satisfying the requirements set by the Prime Minister are granted the right to demand an injunction against certain unjust acts of business. In addition to certain

acts stipulated in the AUPMR and the Food Labeling Act, the object of a demand for an injunction is limited to certain acts stipulated in the Consumer Contract Act and the ASCT. A Qualified Consumer Organization may file an action in order to exercise its right to demand an injunction.

2.6 Who has or which agencies have standing to initiate proceedings for a breach?

Essentially, the Commissioner of the CAA holds the authority of investigation and execution on the AUPMR. However, since the CAA does not have offices nationwide, some authorities are delegated to local offices of the Japan Fair Trade Commission (and, in very exceptional cases, the ministry in charge of the business), and the prefectures have the authority to conduct investigation and execution. Consequently, each of these organisations may initiate an investigation for a breach.

The HGQLA stipulates the administrative agencies holding authority according to the type of business and the scale of business to be investigated and enforced. The Commissioner of the CAA has the authority to receive a report submitted by a consumer and to investigate them, regardless of the type and scale of the business. In addition, the Minister of Economy, Trade and Industry has the authority for: nationwide manufacturers, labelling contractors and wholesale sellers; the Director-General of Economy, Trade and Industry for manufacturers, labelling contractors and wholesale sellers at the level of only one jurisdiction of Economy, Trade and Industry; the prefectural governor for retail sellers at the level of only one prefecture; and the mayor for retail sellers at the level of only one city.

The Food Labeling Act grants: the Commissioner of the CAA; the Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (excluding Liquor); the Minister of Finance (only Liquor); and the prefectural governors the authority to investigate.

2.7 Describe at least two examples of public or private enforcement of these protections in the last five years, including the conduct/alleged conduct, result and penalties imposed.

The following are examples of the aforementioned protections being enforced:

- I. In March 2022, the Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries instructed businesses selling clams labelled as produced in Kumamoto Prefecture, despite actually being produced in China or South Korea, that they should sell clams after promptly correcting the labelling to comply with the Food Labelling Standards.
- II. In June 2020, the CAA ordered a cigarette manufacturer to pay a surcharge of approximately JPY 552 million under the AUPMR, because while the manufacturer had made representations in advertisements displayed at convenience stores, etc. about a limited-period promotion to receive discounts, etc., the manufacturer conducted the limited-period promotion repeatedly, changing advertisements after the limited period had passed. This is the highest surcharge under the AUPMR so far.

3 Protections/Prohibitions in Relation to the Safety of Goods and Services

3.1 Please describe any protections regarding the safety of goods and services acquired by consumers.

As administrative regulations, there are the Consumer Product Safety Act (*shohiseikatsuyo-seihin-anzen-hou*), the Act on Control of Household Products Containing Harmful Substances (*yugaibus-shitsu-kateiyohin-kisei-hou*) and the Food Sanitation Act, amongst others. As a civil regulation, the Product Liability Act is of particular importance. Despite not being under the jurisdiction of the CAA, the Electrical Appliance and Material Safety Act (*denkijohin-anzen-hou*), the Road Transport Vehicle Act (*doro-unso-sharyo-hou*), which regulates the safety of cars, and the APMD are nonetheless important.

3.2 Please outline the substantive tests for these protections.

The Consumer Product Safety Act stipulates regulations below on “Consumer Products” (i.e., any product supplied mainly for use by general consumers in their everyday lives):

- I. “Specified Products” (Consumer Products that are found to be highly likely to cause harm, particularly to the lives or health of general consumers, as specified by a Cabinet Order. There are 10 in-scope products, including pressure cookers for household use, riding helmets for two-wheeled motor vehicles or motorised bicycles, and oil heaters, amongst others). Technical standards have been established in order to prevent the occurrence of harm as a result of these products. Manufacturers and importers, etc. of Specified Products are required to inspect the Specified Products; they cannot sell such products without labelling them with the PSC mark, which indicates that the products meet the technical standards.
- II. “Special Specified Products” (i.e., Specified Products for which the manufacturers or importers thereof have not sufficiently ensured the level of quality necessary to prevent the endangering of the lives or health of general consumers, as specified by a Cabinet Order. There are four in-scope products, including baby beds and lighters, amongst others). Manufacturers and importers, etc. of Special Specified Products shall have their products inspected by a Registered Conformity Inspection Body and may display a PSC mark, in order to confirm that the Special Specified Products meet the technical standards.
- III. “Specified Products Requiring Maintenance” (i.e., Consumer Products that are found to be highly likely to cause particularly serious harm to the lives or health of general consumers arising from safety troubles, due to deterioration caused by long-term use making the product unsafe, and which are specified by a Cabinet Order. There are nine in-scope products, including bathtub water heating units, indoor installations, and built-in dishwashers, amongst others). For these products, there are two systems that have been established: (i) the Long-term Use Consumer Product Safety Inspection System; and (ii) the Long-term Use Consumer Product Safety Indication System.

- (i) The Long-term Use Consumer Product Safety Inspection System stipulates obligations on manufacturers or importers of Specified Products Requiring Maintenance to set the design standard use period (the standard period of use without any safety trouble), inspection periods, and indication of such periods, etc. on the product. This system also requires the owners of Specified Products Requiring Maintenance to provide the owners' information with manufacturers or importers, and to conduct maintenance such as inspections.
- (ii) The Long-term Use Consumer Product Safety Indication System requires manufacturers or importers to display warnings about the design standard period of use and deterioration, etc., and is applied to products with no high risks of serious accidents due to deterioration over time but with a large number of accident reports (there are six in-scope products: fans; ventilators; air conditioners; cathode ray tube TVs; automatic washing machines; and double-drum washing machines).

The Food Sanitation Act prohibits the sale of food and additives that (i) have deteriorated, (ii) contain or are suspected to contain toxic or harmful substances, (iii) are contaminated or suspected to be contaminated with pathogens, or (iv) involve a risk to human health due to contamination by foreign substances, or on other grounds. The Minister of Health, Labour and Welfare can establish the criteria for the methods of producing, processing, using, cooking or preserving food or additives and establish standards for the ingredients.

The Product Liability Act shall cause the manufacturer or importer, etc. to be liable for damages arising from the infringement on life, body or property which is caused by a defect in the "product" (a movable property that is manufactured or processed). "Defect" refers to a lack of safety that the product ordinarily should provide, taking into account the nature of the product, the ordinarily foreseeable manner of use, the time of delivery, and other circumstances concerning the product. Product liability is a responsibility without fault of the manufacturers, etc.

3.3 What types of goods and services are covered by the protections relating to the safety of goods and services?

The Consumer Product Safety Act covers "Consumer Products"; namely, any product to be supplied mainly for use by general consumers in their everyday lives.

The Food Sanitation Act covers all food and drinks as well as additives, except pharmaceutical products, etc. In addition, there are also restrictions on (i) apparatus such as tableware, (ii) containers and packaging, and (iii) toys that may harm the health of infants when they touch them.

The Product Liability Act covers "products"; namely, a movable property that is manufactured or processed.

3.4 Are there any exceptions to these protections?

Under the Consumer Products Safety Act, the following products have their safety regulated by other individual laws and are subject to such regulations, thus are excluded from Consumer Products (regulatory laws are in brackets below):

- ships (Ship Safety Act);
- food, additives and detergents (Food Sanitation Act);

- machines or tools, etc. subject to inspection, and machines or tools, etc. subject to self-labelling (i.e., machines or tools, etc. used for fire defence as specified by a Cabinet Order) (Fire Service Act);
- poisonous substances and deleterious substances (Poisonous and Deleterious Substances Control Act);
- road transport vehicles (Road Transport Vehicle Act);
- containers for filling high-pressure gas (High Pressure Gas Safety Act);
- hunting guns (Ordinance Manufacturing Act); and
- medicines, quasi-medicines, cosmetics, medical devices and regenerative medicine products (APMD).

The Product Liability Act exempts the manufacturer or importer, etc. from liability on the grounds that: (i) the defect of such product could not have been discovered given the state of scientific or technical knowledge at the time when the manufacturer, etc. delivered the product; and (ii) in cases where the product is used as a component or raw material of another product, the defect occurred primarily as a result of the compliance with the instructions given by the manufacturer of the other product, concerning the other product's design, and where the manufacturer, etc. is not negligent with respect to the occurrence of such defect.

3.5 What remedies are available for a breach of the protections in relation to the safety of goods and services?

Since the Consumer Product Safety Act and the Food Sanitation Act are fundamentally administrative laws and regulations, if there are cases that violate these laws, the Minister of Economy, Trade and Industry takes administrative measures based on the former, and the Minister of Health, Labour and Welfare takes on the latter, provided, however, that no administrative disposition shall help the victims recover from the damage. In order for a consumer to seek remedies from a business, it is necessary for the consumer to make a claim for damages on the basis of tort liability or product liability. Additionally, the Court Proceedings for Redress for Damage system described in question 2.5 is not available in cases in which the safety of goods or services is problematic, since it is restricted to contract-related disputes and, furthermore, excludes life and bodily injury.

3.6 Are there mandatory reporting requirements with respect to the safety of goods or services?

The Consumer Products Safety Act stipulates that a manufacturer or importer who comes to know a "Serious Product Accident" (i.e., a fatal accident, accident in which an injury or disease which requires no less than 30 days for medical treatment has been caused, accident in which a physical disability specified by a Cabinet Office Order has been caused, carbon monoxide poisoning accident, or fire (recognised by the fire authority)) have occurred with any Consumer Products must report to the Commissioner of the CAA the name and type of the Consumer Products, a detailed account of the incidents, and the quantity of the Consumer Product that the person manufactured or imported, within 10 days of becoming aware of the Serious Product Accident(s) with regard to the Consumer Products.

Product Accidents that do not amount to Serious Product Accidents are not subject to reporting obligations under laws and regulations. However, according to the guidelines, manufacturers, importers, retailers and repair businesses of products

are required to submit reports to the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation (“NITE”) in the prescribed format.

3.7 Describe any voluntary or mandatory product safety recall regimes.

The Food Sanitation Act, the Food Labeling Act, the Consumer Products Safety Act, the Road Transport Vehicle Act, etc. stipulate the recall order based on the law. However, the administrative agency has discretion to decide whether to issue the recall order. In practice, manufacturers, etc. voluntarily recall in many cases and the recall order is rarely issued. Even if manufacturers, etc. voluntarily recall the Consumer Products, the business submits a notice of starting product recall and a periodic report on the progress of the recall, etc. to the METI. However, this is not a legal obligation that is required by the guideline.

Under the Food Sanitation Act and the Food Labeling Act, businesses must notify administrative agencies of information on voluntary recalls.

3.8 List at least two examples of public or private enforcement of these protections in the last five years, including the breach/alleged breach, result and penalties imposed.

The following are examples of the aforementioned protections being enforced:

- I. In response to a fatal accident that was suspected to be caused by an abnormality in the components of an air bag mounted in a vehicle, in December 2019, the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism instructed seven manufacturers of vehicles with air bags suspected to be the cause of the accident to report the results of investigations on the cause of the accident and the necessity of recall, based on the Road Transport Vehicle Act.
- II. In February 2018, the Kyoto District Court gave the first decision on a case where many consumers filed lawsuits nationwide based on the Product Liability Act, insisting that they had allergic reactions to wheat by using facial soaps shipped and sold between 2004 and 2010. The court approved the liability of a soap manufacturer and ordered payment of a total of JPY 9.2 million. Since then, several court decisions have approved the liability of the soap manufacturer; however, as for the liability of the raw material manufacturer, the decisions of the court vary; i.e., some decisions approved liability while others dismissed it.

4 Prohibitions Relating to “Conduct” Against Consumers

4.1 Please describe any protections/prohibitions relating to the conduct of persons or businesses (e.g., manufacturers/retailers) which sell or supply goods and services to consumers (“Conduct”). For example, misleading and deceptive Conduct, unconscionable Conduct, etc.

The Consumer Contract Act covers “consumer contracts”, i.e., contracts entered into between a consumer and a business, excluding labour contracts, and regulates unjust solicitations (misleading, overwhelming) and unjust contractual provisions for consumers.

The ASCT prohibits unjust solicitation, obligates delivery of documents, and prohibits false or misleading advertisement for

specific commercial transactions such as Door-to-Door Sales, Mail Order Sales, and Multilevel Marketing Transactions, in accordance with the characteristics of each transaction type.

The Act on Deposit Transaction Agreements for Specified Commodities prohibits, in principle, deposit transaction agreements and the like.

4.2 Please outline the substantive tests for the above-mentioned protections/prohibitions.

The Consumer Contract Act regulates unjust solicitations and unjust contractual provisions for consumers. As for the undue solicitations, it regulates two categories below. If consumers are induced by these undue solicitations, the right to rescind a contract is granted:

- I. **Misleading**
Causing a consumer to be under a mistaken belief and, as a result of such belief, to manifest the intention to be bound by the offer of that consumer contract or by the acceptance of the offer for such contract, through the actions below, in which the business engages when soliciting the consumer to enter into the consumer contract:
 - (i) conveying something that diverges from the truth with regard to a piece of material information;
 - (ii) providing a conclusive assessment of something whose behaviour in the future is uncertain; or
 - (iii) conveying to the consumer that a piece of material information, or information related to a piece of material information, would be advantageous to the consumer, and intentionally failing to convey a fact regarding that piece of material information that would be disadvantageous to the consumer.
- II. **Overwhelming**
Causing a consumer to manifest an intention to be bound by the offer of a consumer contract, or by the acceptance of an offer for such contract, as a result of being overwhelmed due to the business. This refers to engaging in an action when soliciting the consumer to enter into the consumer contract such as:
 - (i) failing to leave the consumer’s residence, etc. in defiance of the consumer’s request that the business leave such place;
 - (ii) inflaming a consumer’s anxiety and telling the consumer that it would be difficult to maintain his/her lifestyle without a contract, knowing that a consumer is excessively anxious about maintaining their current lifestyle due to declining judgment by ageing or physical disorder;
 - (iii) telling a consumer by using perception through psychic sense, etc. that a serious disadvantage would occur to the consumer, and the serious disorder(s) can be completely avoided if the consumer enters into the contract; or
 - (iv) making it extremely difficult to restore the original state, prior to performing the obligations of the contract, by performing all or part of the obligations that must be assumed if the contract were concluded, *before* the contract is concluded.

The Consumer Contract Act was revised twice in 2022, once in June and again in December. The first revised Act will take effect from June 2023, except for one part. The first revised Act adds three actions to II. Overwhelming as grounds for rescission: (a) leading a consumer to a place from which it is difficult to voluntarily leave and then soliciting the consumer at such place; (b) using threatening language and conduct to

prevent the consumer from seeking advice from a person other than the business; and (c) changing the current state of the subject of the contract before the conclusion of the contract and making it extremely difficult to restore the situation to its original state. On the other hand, the second revised Act has already been taken effect from January 2023, except for one part. The second amendment was implemented to help people who have been financially exploited by spiritual sales, and the second revised Act expanded the coverage of telling by using perception through psychic sense, etc. (please see II. Overwhelming (iii)), by including a case where a consumer is told a serious disadvantage to the life and property of his/her family members and a case where the serious disorders have already occurred.

The ASCT regulates the specific transactions below which are likely to cause consumer issues:

1. Door-to-Door Sales (transactions such as the sale of goods or the provision of services at a consumer's residence, etc., or unscrupulous sales on the street).
2. Mail Order Sales (transactions for which a business has received a consumer's offer by postal mail or internet, etc. This includes "fraudulent periodic purchases").
3. Telemarketing Sales (transactions for which a business telephones a consumer and solicits him/her during the phone call and receives an offer by the consumer).
4. Multilevel Marketing Transactions (transactions of goods or services, wherein a person is induced to sell such goods or services by the possible receipt of a profit, and transactions that involve a burden being carried out with such a person).
5. Provision of Specified Continuous Services (transactions in which continuous services which will increase the recipient's physical beauty, or increase the recipient's knowledge or skills, etc. exceeding a certain amount, are provided).
6. Business Opportunity Sales Transactions (transactions in which a consumer is solicited on the grounds that the consumer will receive income through engaging in business activities and causes the consumer to buy and bear the cost of goods, etc. said to be necessary for such business activities).
7. Door-to-Door Purchases (transactions in which a business visits a consumer's residence, and purchases goods, etc.).
8. Goods Sent in Absence of Sales Contract Therefor (transactions in which a seller sends goods to a consumer who has not applied for such goods, deeming that he/she is willing to purchase the goods and charges for them unless he/she returns the goods; or transactions in which a seller sends goods to a consumer by falsifying a sales contract).

With respect to (1), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) above, delivery of a sales contract is mandated (delivery by electromagnetic means such as sending a contract by email is acceptable) and unjust actions (conveying false information, intentionally failing to disclose facts, etc.) are prohibited. With respect to (2), what is to be indicated is stipulated, and misleading advertising and sending email advertising to a person who has not given his/her consent is prohibited. Further, with respect to (2), the ASCT prohibits conveying false information in order to prevent the cancellation of a contract. Additionally, with regard to fraudulent periodic purchases, the ASCT obliges a business to indicate that the purchase is a periodic purchase, and prohibits conveying false information about the purchase and prohibits the indication of misleading information stating that an offer will be for a periodic purchase (an indication that misleads a consumer into believing that the purchase is not a periodic purchase), and similar. Moreover, with regard to fraudulent periodic purchases, the ASCT grants a consumer the right to rescind a contract when the consumer is induced to enter into

the contract under a misapprehension founded on an improper indication. With respect to (8), a seller may not claim the return of the goods.

Under the Act on Deposit Transaction Agreements for Specified Commodities, the deposit transaction agreements that have caused widespread damage to consumers concern transaction agreements where businesses sell goods, etc. and at the same time, the business accepts deposits of such goods, itself runs a business of dealing in the goods or rents the goods to third parties, etc., thereby returning profits to consumers through dividends, etc., or purchases the goods, etc., at a certain price at the expiration of the contract period. The Act prohibits deposit transaction agreements, unless a business receives confirmation from the CAA both that (i) it may engage in solicitation for conclusion of agreements, and (ii) it may conclude agreements.

4.3 What types of goods and services are covered by these protections/prohibitions? Is the payment of a price for these goods/services always required for their enforceability?

The Consumer Contract Act covers "consumer contracts" and is not limited to the goods and services covered.

The ASCT only covers specific commercial transactions, as described in question 4.2. Door-to-Door Sales, Mail Order Sales and Telemarketing Sales generally cover all goods and services, and specified rights (rights to use leisure facilities or sports facilities, rights to view or listen to movies or theatrical performances, etc., and rights to receive language lessons, etc.). In Multilevel Marketing Transactions and Business Opportunity Sales Transactions, there is no limitation on the goods or services that are the subject to the contract. The provision of Specified Continuous Services regulates only seven continuous services, such as aesthetic salons or language schools. In principle, Door-to-Door Purchases cover all goods and services, but some goods, such as books and vehicles, are excluded. Goods Sent in Absence of Sales Contract Therefor cover all goods.

The Act on Deposit Transaction Agreements for Specified Commodities covers all goods, specified rights (rights to use facilities (such as golf courses or language learning facilities) that are designated by Cabinet Order, and rights to use goods, claim delivery, and other similar rights).

Since the Consumer Contract Act only provides for civil remedies such as the right to rescind a contract or invalidate a provision, the issue of enforceability by administrative agencies does not arise. Although the ASCT and the Act on Deposit Transaction Agreements for Specified Commodities do not provide that payment of consideration for goods/services is a prerequisite for administrative dispositions and penalties, the maliciousness of the violation and the extent of the damage are likely to be taken into account when deciding whether to impose an administrative disposition, so that the possibility of enforcement will not be high if no consideration has been paid for the goods/services.

4.4 Are there any exceptions/exemptions to the protections/prohibitions relating to Conduct?

The Consumer Contract Act does not apply to labour contracts.

The regulations of Door-to-Door Sales, Mail Order Sales, Telemarketing Sales, Provision of Specified Continuous Services, and Door-to-Door Purchases do not apply to cases in which the person offering the service concludes a contract for business purposes or as a part of business, or to cases in which goods or rights are sold, or services are provided, to persons residing outside Japan, etc.

Deposit transaction agreements are permitted exceptionally if a business receives confirmation of such permission.

4.5 Are there any specific rules which apply in relation to online marketplaces?

Although not limited to online marketplaces, with the enforcement of the revised ASCT in 2022, new guidelines have been established regarding representations to be made at the application stage of Mail Order Sales. In order for consumers to conduct a final confirmation of the content of an application, the guidelines specify the items that businesses must display in the following media: (i) an application document for Mail Order Sales conducted using flyers and catalogues; and (ii) a website screen that allows for final confirmation of the details of the application for a contract and that enables completion of the application by clicking on a submission button, etc. Specifically, businesses must indicate the following items: (a) the quantity of goods or frequency of services; (b) the selling prices of the goods or consideration for the services; (c) the time and means of payment; (d) the time at which the goods will be delivered, the rights will be transferred, or the services will be provided; (e) the period when an application can be made; and (f) matters relating to withdrawal or cancellation of the application. In the case of subscriptions, if the period for which the service is to be provided is fixed, the period must be stated, or in the case of an automatic conversion from a period of free or discounted pricing to a regularly priced contract, the date of conversion and the amount to be paid must be stated in advance.

In May 2022, a new law, the Act on the Protection of Consumers Who Use Digital Platforms, was enacted in light of consumer troubles on digital platforms such as online malls and auction sites. For details, please see section 5.

4.6 What remedies are available for a breach of the protections/prohibitions relating to Conduct?

The Consumer Contract Act provides two remedies. Firstly, with regard to contracts induced by solicitations that mislead or overwhelm consumers, the right to rescind a contract is granted. It also stipulates that provisions which unjustly harm the interests of consumers shall be null and void. The revised Consumer Contract Act newly provides that a clause in a consumer contract that partially exempts a business from liability for damages is null and void unless it clearly states that the exemption applies only in the event of the business's negligence but not gross negligence (ordinary negligence). By exercising the right of rescission, consumers are entitled to receive a refund of the amount already paid due to the restoration duty, and as a result, they are able to recover from the damage. Consumers can be relieved from their obligation to make payments, etc., by invalidation of a contractual clause.

Since the ASCT legislation is administrative in nature, the Commissioner of the CAA imposes administrative dispositions on businesses that violate the ASCT; however, administrative dispositions shall not order businesses to help victims recover from damages. In addition, the ASCT stipulates a cooling-off system, which allows the consumer to cancel the contract unconditionally, within a certain period of time, from the delivery of a contract document describing the matters stipulated by laws and regulations as effective in civil affairs (name of business, date of conclusion of contract, etc.). If the contract document is not delivered, or if there is a defect in the document, the cooling-off period does not commence; thus, the cooling-off period can be set at any time, and the consumer can recover from the damage

as a result. Additionally, if a business conveys false information or intentionally fails to disclose facts and the consumer misapprehends and concludes a contract, the consumer may rescind the contract. Furthermore, if the business has concluded a contract for Door-to-Door Sales or Telemarketing Sales of a quantity that considerably exceeds what is normally required in daily life, and the seller has been aware of the excessive amount, the consumer may cancel the contract within one year from the time of the conclusion.

If a business concludes deposit transaction agreements without receiving the CAA's confirmation, the agreement becomes void, and the natural person who is in violation is subject to imprisonment for not more than five years and/or a fine of not more than JPY 5 million. A juridical person is subject to a fine of not more than JPY 500 million.

In addition, the Court Proceedings for Redress for Damage conducted by a specified qualified consumer organization, as described in question 2.5, may be available.

While it is not a remedy, a demand of injunction by a Qualified Consumer Organization is stipulated for specific acts indicated by the Consumer Contract Act and the ASCT.

4.7 List at least two examples of public or private enforcement of the protections relating to Conduct in the last five years, including the breach/alleged breach, result and penalties imposed.

The following are examples of these protections being enforced:

- I. In November 2020, the Tokyo High Court upheld a claim seeking an injunction on the conclusion of consumer contracts including a provision that was in violation of the Consumer Contract Act, indicating that a business stipulated in membership terms and conditions that the business could revoke membership "if the Company reasonably determines that [a member] causes nuisance to other members" and "if the Company reasonably determines that [a member] is not suitable as a member". In addition, the business stipulated that "even if a member suffers damage as a result of the Company's action, the Company shall not be liable for any damages whatsoever". These provisions were significantly vague, and the Court held the provision which stipulated that the business would not be liable for damages to be an unjust provision completely exempting a business from liability to compensate a consumer for damage arising from default or a tort by the business.
- II. In February 2022, the CAA issued a six-month suspension order to a Door-to-Door Sales company that offered services such as unlocking and repairing locks, because the business told customers that they could not exercise the right of cooling-off, when in fact they could, to prevent them from cancelling their contracts.

5 Other Protections/Prohibitions

5.1 Does consumer law in your jurisdiction have any other prohibitions/protections not covered by the questions above? If so, please describe these prohibitions/protections.

The Act on the Provision of Financial Services obligates financial instrument providers, etc. to explain a risk of loss of principal and important portions of the transaction structure when selling financial instruments, such as savings and insurances, and prohibits the provision of conclusive evaluations on uncertain matters.

The Installment Sales Act obligates the business to indicate terms and conditions of instalment sales, etc. and prohibits credit sales exceeding the credit limit.

The Money Lending Business Act regulates moneylenders offering products such as consumer loans, and prohibits malicious collection and loans exceeding the amount of one-third of annual income.

Additionally, in the revised Civil Code that came into effect on April 1, 2020, new rules have been established regarding pre-formulated terms and conditions such as the terms of service of email order services and insurance contracts: “the standard terms of contract” (*teikei-yakkan*).

In May 2022, a new law, the Act on the Protection of Consumers Who Use Digital Platforms, began to be enforced. This Act was established in order to ensure an environment in which consumers can conduct transactions on digital platforms safely and securely.

5.2 Please outline the substantive tests for the above-mentioned protections/prohibitions.

Firstly, the Act on the Provision of Financial Services stipulates provisions about sales of financial instruments: (i) it obligates financial instruments providers, etc. to explain the important facts such as a risk of incurring a loss of principal or exceeding the initial principal, or restrictions on the exercising of rights; and (ii) it prohibits the provision of conclusive evaluations on uncertain matters. The Act on the Provision of Financial Services also stipulates provisions about financial service intermediary business (i.e., with respect to a business that engages in intermediary business regarding deposits, insurance, securities or loans). It stipulates common obligations such as taking measures concerning business operations or prohibition of name lending, and it also stipulates regulations for each type of financial service.

The Installment Sales Act stipulates the obligations of the business for each type of contract category. For example, with respect to a contract that uses a credit card – in which the credit card is used to purchase goods by presenting the card to a seller after predetermining the usage limit amount and payment terms, etc., the obligation to provide information about the transaction terms and to investigate the payable amount are stipulated.

The Civil Code stipulates that in order to conclude contracts by the standard terms of contract, it must be agreed that the standard terms of contract as the terms of contract will be applied. Alternatively, the intention to apply the standard terms of contract as the terms of the contract must be made apparent to consumers in advance. If a business amends the standard terms of contract in a manner that is disadvantageous to consumers, the amendment must not run afoul of the purpose of the contract and must be reasonable considering the circumstances concerning the amendment, etc.

The Act on the Protection of Consumers Who Use Digital Platforms includes a definition of “digital platform providers” and stipulates obligations of such providers, such as the obligation to make efforts to take measures to enable smooth communication between sellers and consumers and to disclose an outline of such measures (when no outline is disclosed in Japanese, it is necessary to provide a Japanese translation). Additionally, when unsafe products and similar are sold on digital platforms and it is difficult to enforce the relevant individual Acts, such as the ASCT, due to reasons such as “an inability to identify the seller”, the Commissioner of the CAA will request the digital platform providers to remove the listings of goods and the like in respect of such products, and digital platform providers will be exempted from liability for damage to sellers caused as a result of complying with such requests.

5.3 Are there any exceptions/exemptions?

The provisions in respect of explaining the duty of the Act on the Provision of Financial Services do not apply when a customer is a person specified by a Cabinet Order as having expert knowledge and experiences on sales, etc. of financial instruments.

Many provisions of the Installment Sales Act do not apply in cases where a person concludes contracts for business purposes or as a part of its business.

The regulations under the Civil Code in respect of the standard terms of contract only apply to cases where terms and conditions fall under “the standard terms of contract”. The Civil Code sets forth that “the standard terms of contract” are a collection of provisions prepared by a specific person with the purpose of applying them as the terms of a contract for a standard transaction. A “standard transaction” as defined here refers to a transaction conducted by a specified person with an unspecified and large number of persons as the counterparties, in which the uniformity of the whole or part of the transaction is reasonable to both parties. Therefore, in cases where terms and conditions do not fall under this definition, the regulations in respect of the standard terms of contract will not apply.

The Act on the Protection of Consumers Who Use Digital Platforms defines a “consumer” as an individual, excluding any individual who operates a business; therefore, the Act does not apply to individuals who conduct transactions for their own business.

5.4 What remedies are available for a breach of these protections?

Under the Act on the Provision of Financial Services, a customer may claim damages from the providers, etc., of the financial instruments in the event of a violation of the provisions concerning the obligation of explanation and provision of conclusive evaluations. In this case, the providers, etc. are liable without fault, and the loss of principal is presumed to be the amount of damage incurred to the customer.

The Installment Sales Act stipulates the cooling-off of individual credit agreements used for Door-to-Door Sales, etc. Furthermore, the Act stipulates: the right to rescind the case of conveying false information; that the right to cancel the individual credit contract will be granted in the case of excessive sales; and that consumers are entitled to receive a refund of the advance payment by exercising these rights.

As for the regulations regarding the standard terms of contract under the Civil Code, if a business fails to agree to apply the standard terms of contract as the terms of contract, or fails to make the intention to apply the standard terms of contract as the terms of the contract apparent to consumers in advance, the provisions of the standard terms of contract will not be applied as the terms of a contract. In addition, if a business amends the standard terms of contract in a manner that is disadvantageous to consumers, and if this amendment does not satisfy the conditions that the amendment runs afoul of the purpose of the contract and is reasonable in light of the circumstances concerning the amendment, etc., the amendment will not bind the counterparty.

Under the Act on the Protection of Consumers Who Use Digital Platforms, when consumers encounter problems using a digital platform and want to claim damages from the seller, etc., they may request the digital platform provider to disclose information about the sellers, etc., to the extent necessary.

5.5 List at least two examples of public or private enforcement of these protections in the last five years, including the breach/alleged breach, result and penalties imposed.

Recent examples of these protections being imposed include:

- I. In January 2020, the Tokyo Metropolitan Government issued to a corporation an order for business improvement, on the ground that the corporation that provided loan services for individuals provided loans that exceeded one-third of the annual income regulated by the Money Lending Business Act.
- II. In June 2022, METI issued an order under the Installment Sales Act to take appropriate measures for a breach of the duty to properly manage credit card numbers to a business that handles credit card numbers, which had announced that approximately 460,000 credit card numbers and other information may have been leaked due to unauthorised access. Although the security level of the business's system was diagnosed as highly vulnerable on several occasions, the business falsified the reports containing the results of the diagnoses and submitted them to an auditing organisation, which certified that the system met international security standards.

6 Investigation of Potential Breaches

6.1 What powers does/do the consumer authority/authorities in your jurisdiction have to investigate potential breaches of consumer law? Describe the key steps in a typical investigation.

Each law stipulates the investigative authority of each administrative organ. For example, the ASCT gives the competent minister the authority to: (i) order businesses to submit reports and to submit books and documents; (ii) conduct on-site inspections of places of business such as stores; and (iii) question workers. Similar authority is often given to administrative organs under other consumer laws. In practice, however, it is often the case that businesses are asked to cooperate in investigations (so-called "voluntary investigations") before exercising such right to investigate.

6.2 How is an investigation triggered (e.g., *ex officio*, whistleblower or complaint)?

There are various ways in which investigations are triggered, such as the provision of information from related businesses or organisations and general consumers, *ex officio* detection, and whistleblowing.

In order to collect and compile records of complaints regarding consumer affairs, the "PIO-NET" system has been established for the purpose of connecting the National Consumer Affairs Center with Consumer Affairs Centers nationwide. Through the information collected on PIO-NET, Consumer Affairs Centers are able to inform the CAA of matters worthy of attention/investigation.

The Consumer Product Safety Act and the ASCT, etc. stipulate that if any person finds it to be likely that the interests of consumers will be prejudiced, the person may notify the competent minister to that effect and request that appropriate measures be taken, and, if the minister finds the report compelling, he/she is obligated to conduct the necessary investigations and take measures.

6.3 Describe any complaints procedure for (i) consumers, and (ii) businesses.

The procedures for filing a complaint are stipulated in the Administrative Procedure Act, which specifies common rules for administrative activities. Where an administrative agency renders adverse dispositions under laws and regulations to a designated specified person (business), the administrative agency shall take procedures for statements of opinions. There are two types of procedures for a statement of opinions: (i) procedures for hearings; and (ii) grants of opportunity for explanation. This is, however, provided that there are no specific consumer complaint procedures for investigation into the business.

6.4 What is the timeline for a typical investigation?

In general, the authority to investigate under laws and regulations is rarely exercised; voluntary investigations are carried out first, and the authority to investigate laws and regulations may be exercised as necessary. Under the ASCT, the Commissioner of the CAA initially requests consumers and businesses to submit materials voluntarily and to explain their circumstances. If it is deemed necessary to enforce the ASCT, the orders are issued to businesses, etc. for the collection of reports, the submission of materials, etc., and on-site inspections. The period of investigation varies depending on the laws and regulations or cases; however, in recent years, it is not uncommon for investigations to last for over a year.

6.5 Are there criminal penalties for non-compliance with a consumer law investigation? If so, provide examples where such penalties have been imposed.

Individual laws by jurisdiction may stipulate criminal punishment in the event of refusal to cooperate with investigations, false reports, obstruction, or evasion of on-site inspections, etc. For example, under the ASCT, if a seller, etc. fails to submit a requested report, provides a false report, or avoids an on-site inspection, the seller, etc. is subject to imprisonment for not more than six months and/or a fine of not more than JPY 1 million.

6.6 Can investigations be resolved by way of commitments or undertakings?

There is no such system in Japan.

7 Enforcement

7.1 How does/do the consumer authority/authorities seek to enforce consumer law (e.g., by administrative decision or by commencing proceedings in court)?

In Japan, there is no system of filing to enforce consumer laws; however, the competent minister may enforce consumer law by administrative decision.

7.2 Is/are the consumer protection authority/authorities bound by a time limit to commence proceedings on breaches?

There is no time limit for the commencement of procedures by the competent minister.

7.3 Describe the enforcement powers/tools available to these bodies (civil, administrative, criminal).

It depends on individual laws. Many laws that regulate businesses in specific industry sectors, such as the Installment Sales Act and the Money Lending Business Act, stipulate criminal penalties in addition to administrative guidance and dispositions. The Consumer Contract Act, which is a civil law, provides only for civil remedies, such as the right to rescind or the invalidation of contract provisions (except for the procedures for the certification of Qualified Consumer Organizations and Specified Qualified Consumer Organizations). The ASCT provides, in addition to civil remedies such as cooling-off and cancellation rights, for administrative measures in case of violation, as well as criminal penalties.

7.4 Where regulators/enforcement bodies have a choice of enforcement tools/powers, what considerations do they take into account in determining which tools/powers to use?

Essentially, administrative agencies have the discretion to decide whether to impose administrative dispositions, to limit them to administrative guidance, or to decide what kind of measures should be taken in the case of administrative dispositions. However, with regard to the payment order for surcharge under the AUPMR, the Commissioner of the CAA has no discretion and must issue the surcharge order when the case meets the requirements of the law.

7.5 Describe the relevant rules and procedures that must be followed by such bodies (e.g., administrative, judicial).

In general, when the competent minister imposes administrative dispositions stipulated in the consumer laws, the competent minister must comply with the Administrative Procedure Act, which provides that in the case of adverse disposition, such as an order for business suspension, the minister shall provide the person to whom the adverse disposition is to be designated with the reasons for the disposition and provide an opportunity for explanation.

7.6 Is there a right to a stand-alone action and follow-on right of action within consumer law? Who has standing to bring these actions?

There are no follow-on actions in Japan. However, under the Act on Special Measures Concerning Civil Court Proceedings for the Collective Redress for Property Damage Incurred by Consumers, the “Court Proceedings for Redress for Damage” system has been established in order to address lawsuits. Under the proceedings, in order to collectively recover the damage suffered by a considerable number of consumers, a Specified Qualified Consumer Organization seeks declaratory judgment on common obligations to consumers at the first stage, and, if a judgment upholds the obligation of a business to pay money, at the second stage, the amount of the claim is determined through Simple Determination Proceedings in which the consumer participates, and the amount of the claim is finally determined. The amount is then paid to the consumers through the Specified Qualified Consumer Organization. The Act was revised in June 2022 and the revised Act will come into effect on October 1, 2023.

7.7 Is there a statute of limitations for bringing stand-alone or follow-on actions?

Claims subject to Court Proceedings for Redress for Damage are limited to certain monetary payment obligations borne by a business against a consumer concerning consumer contracts, and claims such as those for solatium, medical expenses, and lost profits are excluded from the scope of claims. Under the revised Act, solatium is included in the scope of claims if (a) the primary factual circumstances upon which the solatium is calculated are common to a considerable number of consumers, and (b) either (i) the solatium is claimed together with claims for property damage, or (ii) the damages resulted from an intentional act.

7.8 Describe any international or regional cooperative mechanisms (e.g., MOUs) in which your jurisdiction is involved in the enforcement of consumer protection.

Japan is a member of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Committee on Consumer Policy and the International Consumer Protection and Enforcement Network. Four consumer organisations, including Consumers Japan, are members of Consumers International. In addition, the Cross-border Consumer centre Japan (“CCJ”) has been established as a consulting service for consumers who encounter troubles in trading with overseas businesses. The CCJ works in collaboration with organisations such as the Better Business Bureaus (the United States, Canada and Mexico), the Chartered Trading Standards Institute (the United Kingdom), and the Korea Consumer Agency (the Republic of Korea).

8 Appeals

8.1 Describe any appeal processes.

In order to challenge the legality of administrative dispositions undertaken by administrative agencies, there are appeal processes concerning such dispositions, including complaints under the Administrative Complaint Review Act and actions for revocation under the Administrative Case Litigation Act.

8.2 Can consumers or retailers/manufacturers appeal decisions made by the consumer authority/authorities or by a court?

When a business subject to an administrative disposition wishes to seek revocation or modification of a disposition by an administrative agency, it may file a complaint under the Administrative Complaint Review Act. Such request for review of a disposition is not a lawsuit and does not involve the court. Within three months from the day on which the business comes to know of the disposition, the business files a request for review with the administrative agency that made the disposition, and, thereafter, review officers review the disposition and determine, based on the documents provided, whether the agency should review it. In addition, if a business wishes to seek the revocation of a disposition, it may file a lawsuit for revocation under the Administrative Case Litigation Act. In principle, a business can choose freely whether to file a request for review or a lawsuit for revocation. If a business wants to file a lawsuit for revocation, the business must file a lawsuit with the court within six months from the date when the business became aware of the disposition.

Consumers are not entitled to appeal administrative dispositions.

8.3 Does an appeal suspend the effect of any penalty/ the requirement to pay any fine (if applicable)?

The filing of a request for review or an administrative lawsuit does not automatically suspend the liability to pay penalties, etc. In order to prevent the entry into force of an administrative disposition, it is necessary to file a provisional disposition with the court requesting a stay of execution, based on which the court has the authority to uphold the claim and issue an order of suspension of execution.

9 Current Trends and Anticipated Reforms

9.1 What are the recent enforcement trends in your jurisdiction?

With the spread of COVID-19, as of the end of June 2021, the CAA called consumers' attention repeatedly to health foods claiming prevention of COVID-19, to disinfectant or antibacterial goods such as sprays and minus ion generators, and to antibody detection kits. The CAA also actively took administrative actions and from April 2020 to November 2021 issued 27 administrative orders to businesses which sold disinfectant or antibacterial goods because they were in violation of the AUPMR.

9.2 Are there any proposed reforms to consumer law or policy within the next 12 months?

The CAA submitted a draft regulation for public comment in January-February 2023 to establish new regulations on stealth marketing. Currently, stealth marketing violates the AUPMR

if the content of the representations made in stealth marketing misleads consumers into believing that the relevant goods or services are significantly better or more advantageous than they actually are, but the practice of stealth marketing itself is not regulated. The CAA has proposed to create a new public notice to prohibit representations made by a business where it is difficult for consumers to recognise that such representations are made in connection with transactions for goods or services it supplies.

The CAA is also considering amendments to the AUPMR, and the Study Committee on the Act, which was formed pursuant to the CAA, issued its report in January 2023. The report proposes the introduction of the Commitment Procedures under the Act on Prohibition of Private Monopolization and Maintenance of Fair Trade (a system under which the Fair Trade Commission will not issue a Cease and Desist Order or a Payment Order to pay a surcharge to a business suspected of violating the provisions of the Act if the business voluntarily prepares and applies for a plan for measures necessary to eliminate the conduct in question and the Fair Trade Commission certifies that the plan meets the legal requirements). If the Commitment Procedures are introduced into the AUPMR, a business that has unintentionally made a misleading representation may be exempted from an Order for Action and a Payment Order for Surcharges by preparing a plan and obtaining the approval of the CAA, when it is notified of the outline of the suspected violation of the AUPMR after the CAA has started an investigation. In addition, the report proposes to include the application of a higher rate for calculating the surcharge for businesses that repeatedly commit violations, the introduction of provisions for estimating the amount of sales, etc., to be used as a basis for calculating the surcharge, and the introduction of criminal penalties. Based on the content of this report, the CAA submitted the bill to revise the AUPMR to the ordinary session of the Diet, in February 2023.



Oki Mori is a partner at Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu. He practises in a wide variety of civil litigation and other dispute resolution procedures including, but not limited to, consumer litigation, corporate litigation, commercial litigation, real estate litigation, finance litigation, labour litigation and tax litigation. Moreover, he specialises in consumer law such as cases involving the Act against Unjustifiable Premiums and Misleading Representations, food labelling, product recalls/accident reports, the Product Liability Act, the Consumer Contract Act and the Act on the Protection of Personal Information. He has extensive experience in responding to emergency situations involving governmental authorities such as the Consumer Affairs Agency, mainly in consumer law, and has also obtained many judgments/orders in his favour in administrative litigation and appeal procedures. Furthermore, he was awarded the honour of being named the Dispute Resolution Lawyer of the Year at the ALB Japan Law Awards 2019 hosted by *Asian Legal Business*.

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu
JP Tower, 2-7-2 Marunouchi
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-7036
Japan

Tel: +81 3 6889 7000
Email: oki_mori@noandt.com
URL: www.noandt.com



Mai Umezawa is an associate at Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu. Since joining the firm, she has engaged in a wide variety of civil litigation and other dispute resolution matters, including commercial litigation, matters regarding trading by consumers and businesses, intellectual property matters, labour disputes, and international arbitration. In addition, she has experience in matters involving governmental authorities in Japan, such as the Consumer Affairs Agency and the Personal Information Protection Commission. She graduated with an LL.B. from the faculty of law of Waseda University in 2013, and a J.D. from Waseda Law School in 2016, and was subsequently admitted to the Bar of Japan in 2018.

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu
JP Tower, 2-7-2 Marunouchi
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-7036
Japan

Tel: +81 3 6889 7000
Email: mai_umezawa@noandt.com
URL: www.noandt.com

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu is the first integrated full-service law firm in Japan and one of the foremost providers of international and commercial legal services based in Tokyo. The firm's overseas network includes locations in New York, Singapore, Bangkok, Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Jakarta and Shanghai, and collaborative relationships with prominent local law firms. In representing our leading domestic and international clients, we have successfully structured and negotiated many of the largest and most significant corporate, finance and real estate transactions related to Japan. In addition to our capabilities spanning key commercial areas, the firm is known for path-breaking domestic and cross-border risk management/corporate governance cases and large-scale corporate reorganisations. The over 500 lawyers of the firm work together in customised teams to provide clients with the expertise and experience specifically required for each client matter.

www.noandt.com

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

ICLG.com



Current titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds	Franchise
Anti-Money Laundering	Gambling
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Insurance & Reinsurance
Aviation Law	International Arbitration
Business Crime	Investor-State Arbitration
Cartels & Leniency	Lending & Secured Finance
Class & Group Actions	Litigation & Dispute Resolution
Competition Litigation	Merger Control
Construction & Engineering Law	Mergers & Acquisitions
Consumer Protection	Mining Law
Copyright	Oil & Gas Regulation
Corporate Governance	Patents
Corporate Immigration	Pharmaceutical Advertising
Corporate Investigations	Private Client
Corporate Tax	Private Equity
Cybersecurity	Product Liability
Data Protection	Project Finance
Derivatives	Public Investment Funds
Designs	Public Procurement
Digital Business	Real Estate
Digital Health	Renewable Energy
Drug & Medical Device Litigation	Restructuring & Insolvency
Employment & Labour Law	Sanctions
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Securitisation
Environment & Climate Change Law	Shipping Law
Environmental, Social & Governance Law	Technology Sourcing
Family Law	Telecoms, Media & Internet
Fintech	Trade Marks
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes	Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms